arXiv:1505.04707v5 [math.AP] 11 Dec 2015

Generalized wavepackets for the semiclassical Schrödinger equation with power nonlinearities

Agissilaos Athanassoulis

Monday 14$^{\text{th}}$ December, 2015

Abstract

We consider semiclassical Schrödinger equations with power nonlinearities, in the focusing mass-subcritical, and defocusing energy-subcritical regimes. We show that for a broad, non-parametric class of wavepacket initial data, the solution remains a wavepacket for any $O(1)$ timescale, and we compute the Wigner measure under appropriate conditions. This is further put in context by novel results for narrowband and generic wavefunctions. The main idea can be adapted to diverse wave equations and nonlinearities. A new functional framework developed for the Wigner transform and the Wigner equation plays an important role in the proofs. It must also be noted that this is the first positive result on Wigner measures for a Schrödinger equation with power nonlinearity, following several high-profile negative results.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Main Results **8**

3 Discussion **12**

4 Proofs **14**

A Background **26**

B Some technical computations for the proof of Theorem 2.2 **29**

C Calculations for concrete initial data **34**

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

We consider the semiclassical limit for the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLS) with power nonlinearity,

$$\begin{gathered} i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\Delta\psi^\varepsilon - b(\varepsilon)|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \psi^\varepsilon(t=0) = \psi_0^\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{1}$$

The semiclassical parameter is taken to be small, $0 < \varepsilon = o(1)$, and the coupling constant will be nominally small, $|b(\varepsilon)| = o(1)$. To properly assess the size of the nonlinearity though, it is instructive to consider the equivalent mild form of (1), namely

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t) = e^{i\varepsilon\Delta t}\psi_0^\varepsilon - i\frac{b(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} e^{i\varepsilon\Delta(t-\tau)}\ |\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\,d\tau. \tag{2}$$

Thus $\varepsilon \leqslant |b(\varepsilon)|$ is a fully nonlinear regime. Indeed, to the best of my knowledge, no asymptotic results valid for all $t = O(1)$ exist, unless $|b(\varepsilon)| = o(\varepsilon)$. The main result of this paper applies to weakly-nonlinear cases $|b(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$, as well as to fully nonlinear cases $|b(\varepsilon)| \geqslant \varepsilon$. The specific scalings of $b(\varepsilon)$ we will use are dictated by the energy of the problem.

The exponent 2σ is taken to be energy-subcritical in the defocusing case (i.e., $0 < b(\varepsilon)$, $0 < \sigma < 2/(n-2)_+$), and mass-subcritical in the focusing case ($0 > b(\varepsilon)$, $0 < \sigma < 2/n$). The fully detailed assumptions are listed in Section 2.1.

Semiclassical asymptotics for problem (1) have been the focus of several recent works, e.g. [5, 9, 10, 19, 21, 22, 23, 32]. Of particular interest here is the case of wavepacket initial data, the best-studied class of which is coherent states [13]. The state of the art for the semiclassical asymptotics of coherent states [9] can be summarized as follows: assume the nonlinearity is weak enough,

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = O(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{n\sigma}{2}}), \tag{3}$$

and the initial data is a coherent state with envelope a,

$$\psi_0^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{4}} a\Big(\frac{x-X_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big) e^{\frac{iK_0(x-X_0)}{\varepsilon}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \|a\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad X_0, K_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4}$$

Then, this parametric form is propagated in time, in the sense that there is a coherent-state approximate solution of (1),

$$\psi^\varepsilon(x,t) \approx \varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{4}} a\Big(\frac{x-X(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, t\Big) e^{\frac{iK(t)(x-X(t))}{\varepsilon} + i\theta(t)}, \tag{5}$$

for any $|t| = O(1)$, where $X(t)$, $K(t)$, $\theta(t)$, $a(x,t)$, satisfy simple ε-independent equations. In that case it follows that the Wigner measure, which controls macroscopic observables of the problem, is a δ-function not affected by the nonlinearity, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] = \delta(X_0 - 2K_0 t, \frac{K_0}{2\pi}), \qquad \text{for any } |t| = O(1). \tag{6}$$

Equation (5) provides a lot of information for the problem, but at the cost of a rather weak nonlinearity and parametric initial data, i.e. assumptions (3), (4). We will see that equation (6) still holds in a much wider class of problems, where no approximation analogous to (5) can be expected to hold. Thus the intuition behind wavepackets is found to be valid, as far as their macroscopic observables are concerned (position, momentum, kinetic energy etc), even as simplified approximations of ψ^ε may no longer be possible.

This highlights a stability of wavepackets with respect to macroscopic observables [15, 26]. If, for example, $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$, $\phi^\varepsilon(t)$ are two coherent states solutions of (1) with the same initial position X_0 and wavenumber K_0, then their observables (position, momentum, kinetic energy etc) will be close for later times, *even if there is no closeness between* ψ_0^ε, ϕ_0^ε *in* H^1 *or* L^2. This kind of stability is completely different from the usual understanding of continuous dependence of the PDE (1) on its initial data. Often the observables, and not the wavefunction ψ^ε itself, are the most interesting objects – this is the case in quantum mechanics, but also in the formulation and analysis of statistical models for water waves [30], seismic waves [28] etc. Therefore stability with respect to observables is a crucial physical feature of the underlying problem, when it exists.

It is easy to see that this kind of stability does not hold unconditionally. In fact, it is known that if the nonlinear energy dominates the problem, then instabilities arise [5, 6, 7]. These works show that instabilities are possible for certain localized initial data when studying a model of Bose-Einstein condensates, namely eq. (1) with

$$n = 3, \qquad \sigma = 1, \qquad b(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2 > 0. \tag{7}$$

It is even shown that the Wigner measure can be discontinuous in time [7], hence intrinsically unstable. At the same time, nothing was known for the behavior of coherent states in this case, since $|b(\varepsilon)|$ is too large for [9] to apply. This situation has often been informally described within the semiclassical community as *"Wigner measures fail for power nonlinearities, even when* $b(\varepsilon)$ *is as small as* ε^2*"*. A more modest and precise description would be that *there are simple, physically relevant settings where heretofore it was not known whether wavepackets are stable in the sense of equation* (6).

The main contribution of this paper is the rigorous justification of equation (6) for a very broad class of wavepacket initial data, under mild conditions on $|b(\varepsilon)|$, including (7). This is summarized in Section 1.2, and quantifies the stability of wavepackets with respect to macroscopic observables under precise conditions. An intuitive discussion for the role of Wigner transforms is presented in Section 1.3. A proper self-contained introduction to Wigner transforms and Wigner measures can be found in Section 1.5.

1.2 Wigner measures for wavepackets

Let us introduce the following

Definition 1.1 (Generalized wavepacket)**.** *If ψ_0^ε satisfies*

$$\begin{gathered}\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}=1, \qquad \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^1}=O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \qquad \|\widehat{\psi_0^\varepsilon}\|_{H^1}=O(1), \\ \exists X_0,K_0\in\mathbb{R}^n \ \text{ so that } \ \|\nabla\left(\psi_0^\varepsilon(x+X_0)e^{i\frac{K_0\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{L^2}=o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \||x|\psi_0^\varepsilon(x+X_0)e^{i\frac{K_0\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{L^2}=o(1),\end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

then we will say ψ_0^ε is a generalized wavepacket with position X_0 and wavenumber K_0.

This is a non-parametric class containing coherent states, squeezed states, but also chirps and many other wavepackets. It is the largest reasonably regular class $\{\psi_0^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ so that the corresponding Wigner measure is a delta function in phase space,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]-\delta(X_0,\frac{K_0}{2\pi}),\phi\rangle=0 \qquad \forall\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

The central result of this paper, Theorem 2.6, can be described as follows: *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1)*. If ψ_0^ε is a generalized wavepacket and*

$$\begin{aligned} b(\varepsilon)&=O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}}) && \textit{if (1) is defocusing and energy subcritical,}\\ |b(\varepsilon)|&=o(\varepsilon^{n\sigma}) && \textit{if (1) is focusing and mass subcritical,}\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)]=\delta(X_0-2K_0t,\frac{K_0}{2\pi}), \qquad \textit{for any } |t|=O(1).$$

This is a compelling result for the following reasons:

- No positive results for the Wigner measure of the NLS with power nonlinearities exist heretofore in the literature; in fact several high-profile negative results have been known for some time [5, 6, 7]. Here it is shown for the first time that in fact Wigner measures provide a powerful tool for the practical treatment of NLS, in physically relevant settings.

- Its regime of validity is dictated by the scaling of the energy. For defocusing problems, it corresponds to the regime where the kinetic energy is non-negligible with respect to the total energy. For focusing problems, it closely corresponds to the regime where the kinetic energy is known to be globally bounded by state of the art methods. This is elaborated in Theorem 2.1 and its proof.

  Such energy considerations determine the physically interesting scalings in many cases, including e.g. the use of the focusing NLS as an envelope equation in water waves [24].

- It allows even for *asymptotically large* nonlinearities in the sense of equation (2), when $\frac{\varepsilon}{|b(\varepsilon)|}=o(1)$.

- The key idea of the proof – an energy argument – can be adapted to other types of nonlinearities or even other nonlinear wave equations, as long as energy conservation is available. This allows for a nonlinear, non-parametric analysis of wavepackets, greatly expanding the existing coherent states toolset.

- As a Corollary, we also find that wavepackets are in fact stable for $n=3$, $\sigma=1$, $b(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon^2$, i.e. for the equations examined in [5, 6, 7]. The instabilities therein are due to the fact that the initial data they use are not wavepackets in the sense of Definition 1.1.

- If $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$, $\phi^\varepsilon(t)$ are two wavepacket solutions of (1) with the same initial position X_0 and wavenumber K_0, it follows that they will have the same kinetic energy, position etc at later times, *even if* $\|\psi_0^\varepsilon - \phi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 2$. *In other words an underlying stability of macroscopic observables for wavepackets is quantified, and it is completely independent from the stability of the PDE* (1) *as it is usually understood.*

1.3 Context

Our main result means it is possible that ψ^ε exhibits strong nonlinear effects and at the same time the evolution of macroscopic observables does not. Working directly with the observables is the reason for the introduction of the Wigner transform and the related passage to phase space. The Wigner transform (WT), introduced systematically in Section 1.5, is a quadratic mapping $\psi^\varepsilon(x) \mapsto W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon](x,k)$ widely used to describe the macroscopic observables for many wave problems [15, 26, 28]. Intuitively, quadratic observables of ψ^ε are first moments of W^ε, e.g. for position

$$\int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} x_j |\psi^\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx = \int\limits_{x,k\in\mathbb{R}^n} x_j W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon](x,k)dxdk,$$

while $W^\varepsilon = W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] = W^\varepsilon(x,k,t)$ itself satisfies the nonlinear Wigner equation corresponding to problem (1), namely

$$\partial_t W^\varepsilon + 4\pi k \cdot \nabla_x W^\varepsilon + \frac{ib(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{K\to k} \left[\int\limits_{s=-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}} \nabla \left(\int\limits_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n} W^\varepsilon(x+s,\xi,t) \right)^\sigma \cdot ds \; \mathcal{F}_{k'\to K}\left[W^\varepsilon(x,k',t)\right] \right] = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{k'\to K}$ is the Fourier transform in the k' variable, and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{K\to k}$ is the inverse Fourier transform in the K variable. The Fourier transform notations and conventions are elaborated in the next Section, 1.4. Various equivalent formulations of the Wigner equation (9) are discussed in Section 4.9. Discussions for its use and interpretation in various wave problems can be found in [15, 26, 28].

A nonlinear stability theory for (9) on an appropriate measure-like space, would be the proper way to ultimately study the physical question of stability of macroscopic observables. The question of selecting the correct norm to work with is highly nontrivial, and it has been a significant roadblock in the study of nonlinear problems until now, and it is elaborated in Section 1.5.

The simplest question of semiclassical asymptotics in this context is to look for sufficient conditions under which equation (9) is well approximated, in a meaningful sense, by its formal leading part

$$\partial_t W^\varepsilon + 4\pi k \cdot \nabla_x W^\varepsilon = 0. \quad (10)$$

Examining when equations (9), (10) are close quantifies the linear regime for macroscopic observables, which is found to be much wider than the regime where ψ^ε behaves linearly. This is in agreement with empirical knowledge in many applied fields, and in fact it can help make more precise the quantitative use of WT-based approaches in applications [30].

The aforementioned Theorem 2.6 can be seen in that context, and the same applies to the following accompanying results:

(i) *Theorem 2.9: If*

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|\widehat{\psi}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1}^{2\sigma}}\right) \quad (11)$$

then the wavefunction ψ^ε *is not affected by the nonlinearity as* $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(ii) *Theorem 2.10: If*

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|\widehat{\psi}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1}^{2\sigma}}\right) \quad (12)$$

and moreover ψ_0^ε *is narrowband in an appropriate sense, then the WT* $W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]$ *is not affected by the nonlinearity as* $\varepsilon \to 0$.

These results are built on Wiener algebra estimates for problem (1), which are elaborated in Theorem 2.8. The Wiener algebra provides a convenient way to deal with point values of the wavefunction in the semiclassical regime (e.g. it can give better bounds for $|\psi^\varepsilon(x,t)|$ than Sobolev embeddings, under mild assumptions). In certain physical settings the fact that the Wiener algebra does not require decay at infinity is advantageous as well (e.g. when modeling water waves in the ocean). Nonlinear Schrödinger equations on Wiener-algebra-type spaces have attracted increased attention recently [8, 20, 25].

Conditions (11) and (12) are evaluated for certain explicit wavefunctions ψ_0^ε in Section 3.1. The state-of-the-art scaling $|b(\varepsilon)| = O\left(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{n\sigma}{2}}\right)$ for coherent states [9] is recovered, while comparable scalings are computed for the first time for chirps. Since this is a non-parametric result, it can be applied to WKB and other types of initial data as well. Computing the norms $\|\widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1}$ for WKB data seems to not follow easily from standard (asymptotic or exact) methods, and it will be the object of future work.

In many areas of applications narrowband wavefunctions are common, and can be measured in the field, but do not have any known parametric representation (e.g. wavefields corresponding to JONSWAP spectra for water waves [24] etc). In that context, conditions (11) and (12) can be checked in an experimental setting. This is to be contrasted with the strictly parametric techniques for coherent states [9], WKB wavefunctions [10, 19, 21, 22] etc.

(iii) *Theorem 2.12: Assuming that*

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = o\left(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma}\right), \qquad \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}}\right), \tag{13}$$

it follows that the WT $W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]$ is not affected by the nonlinearity as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

The main merit of Theorem 2.12 is that it establishes a baseline: provided enough regularity is present, $|b(\varepsilon)| = o(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma})$ is a negligible nonlinearity always. This puts in context the previous results, and gives a concrete way to measure e.g. how much more classically do wavepackets behave as compared to generic wavefunctions.

Although Theorem 2.12 is not surprising in itself, it had not appeared in the literature before this work. This fact highlights the complications of computing Wigner measures for nonlinear problems.

1.4 Notations

We will use standard multi-index notations. The Fourier transform normalization will be

$$\widehat{f}(k) = \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i k\cdot x} f(x)dx.$$

Because of the particular manipulations necessary in this work, we will keep track of variable names under Fourier transforms with the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(k) = \mathcal{F}_{x\to k}[f] &= \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i k\cdot x} f(x)dx, \\
\widehat{f}(X,K) = \mathcal{F}_{(x,k)\to(X,K)}[f] &= \int\limits_{x,k\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i [x\cdot X + k\cdot K]} f(x,k)dxdk, \\
\mathcal{F}_{k\to K}[f] &= \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i k\cdot K} f(x,k)dk.
\end{aligned}$$

The $O(\cdot)$, $o(\cdot)$ notations, defined precisely below, will be widely used.

Definition 1.2. *Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $f(\varepsilon), g(\varepsilon) : (0,\varepsilon_0) \to (0,\infty)$. Then, we shall write*

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\varepsilon) = O\big(g(\varepsilon)\big) \quad &\textit{if and only if} \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \tfrac{f(\varepsilon)}{g(\varepsilon)} < \infty, \\
f(\varepsilon) = o\big(g(\varepsilon)\big) \quad &\textit{if and only if} \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \tfrac{f(\varepsilon)}{g(\varepsilon)} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This includes as a special case

$$f(\varepsilon) = O(1) \qquad \textit{if and only if} \qquad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} f(\varepsilon) < \infty,$$
$$f(\varepsilon) = o(1) \qquad \textit{if and only if} \qquad \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} f(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Finally, when there is no danger of confusion we will drop the explicit dependence of $b = b(\varepsilon)$, $\psi = \psi^\varepsilon$ on ε. In every other case the ε dependence will be denoted explicitly.

1.5 Wigner Transforms and functional framework

The Wigner transform (WT) can be seen as a sesquilinear transform

$$W^\varepsilon : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) : f, g \mapsto W^\varepsilon[f,g],$$

defined as

$$W^\varepsilon[f,g](x,k) = \int\limits_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi iky} f(x + \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\bar{g}(x - \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})dy. \tag{14}$$

Often the quadratic version is used, in which case we denote

$$W^\varepsilon[f] := W^\varepsilon[f,f].$$

The WT $W^\varepsilon[f]$ describes the quadratic observables of f through

$$\int\limits_{x,k\in\mathbb{R}^n} W^\varepsilon[f](x,k)\,\phi(x,k)\,dxdk = \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{f(x)}\,\phi(x,\varepsilon\nabla_x)f(x)\,dx$$

where $\phi(x,\varepsilon\nabla_x)$ is the Weyl pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\phi(x,k)$ [15, 26]. Thus weak approximations of $W^\varepsilon[f]$ can provide information for the quadratic observables of f – but not for its point values.

The most fruitful application of the ε-dependent WT is to an ε-dependent family of functions, $\{\psi^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$. Under appropriate conditions, it is known that $W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]$ converges in weak-$*$ sense to a probability measure W^0 on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ [26]; W^0 is then called the Wigner measure (WM) of the family of functions $\{\psi^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$. Intuitively, the WM keeps track of the limits of the observables of ψ^ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$ through

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\psi^\varepsilon(x)}\,\phi(x,\varepsilon\nabla_x)\psi^\varepsilon(x)\,dx = \int\limits_{x,k\in\mathbb{R}^n} W^0(x,k)\,\phi(x,k)\,dxdk$$

while the family $\{\psi^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ itself has no meaningful limit (typically $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\psi^\varepsilon = 0$ in the sense of distributions).

The framework developed in [26] for the weak-$*$ convergence of the WT towards the WM is based on the algebra of test functions $\mathcal{A}$, generated by the norm $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \|\mathcal{F}_{k\to K}[\phi](x,K)\|_{L^1_K L^\infty_x}$. A back-of-the-envelope calculation shows that this is sharp in the following sense: Let $\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 1$, then

$$\int\limits_{x,k\in\mathbb{R}^n} W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon](x,k)\phi(x,k)dxdk = \int\limits_{x,k,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi iky}\psi^\varepsilon(x + \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{\psi^\varepsilon}(x - \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\phi(x,k)dxdk =$$
$$= \int\limits_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \psi^\varepsilon(x + \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{\psi^\varepsilon}(x - \frac{\varepsilon y}{2}) \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi iky}\phi(x,k)dk\;dxdy \quad \Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad |\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon], \phi\rangle| \leqslant \|\psi^\varepsilon(x + \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{\psi^\varepsilon}(x - \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\|_{L^\infty_y L^1_x}\|\mathcal{F}_{k\to y}[\phi]\|_{L^1_y L^\infty_x}, \tag{15}$$

where of course

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(x + \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{\psi^\varepsilon}(x - \frac{\varepsilon y}{2})\|_{L^\infty_y L^1_x} = \sup_y \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\psi^\varepsilon(x+y)\overline{\psi^\varepsilon}(x-y)\right| dx = 1.$$

Thus the set $\{W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\}_\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in the dual of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$, and hence weak-$*$ compact by virtue of the Banach-Alaoglou Theorem. By extracting a subsequence in ε if necessary, the WM W^0 is now well defined. It is known that W^0 is in fact a non-negative finite measure [26], hence the term Wigner measure is justified. Some analytical fine print is recalled in Corollary 2.5.

So the sesquilinear structure of the WT generates in a clear way the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, which has been widely used since the seminal work [26]. However there don't seem to be enough regularity properties of the Wigner equation with respect to $\mathcal{A}$-based spaces.

This has stifled attempts at working with non-smooth and non-linear problems for a long time. The Wiener-Sobolev spaces of test functions $A^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ that we introduce in Definition 1.3 have the advantage of being consistent with $\mathcal{A}$ (see Lemma 1.5, Theorem 1.6) while at the same time supporting richer machinery to do asymptotics with (see Theorem 4.9). Thus, we are able to derive norm approximations of the Wigner measure

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|W^\varepsilon - W^0\|_{A^{-1}} = 0, \tag{16}$$

in Theorems 2.6, 2.10 and 2.12.

Finding ways to metrise the weak-$*$ limit, as in (16), is important in itself[1]. One reason is that it would yield better control over uniqueness of the Wigner measure, which can be a non-trivial question [2, 26, 33]. In fact, recent advances in nonsmooth and nonlinear problems rely heavily on the development of new norms so that results of the form (16) are possible [2, 17, 18].

Definition 1.3 (The Wiener-Sobolev spaces A^s)**.** *For $s \geqslant 0$, we will denote with $A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Banach space of functions generated by the norm*

$$\|\phi\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \int\limits_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|y|)^s |\widehat{\phi}(y)| dy.$$

To simplify some computations, in phase-space we will use the equivalent norm

$$\|\phi\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} := \int\limits_{X,K\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|X|+|K|)^s |\widehat{\phi}(X,K)| dy.$$

When $s > 0$, we will denote the dual of A^s by A^{-s}, i.e.

$$\|\phi\|_{A^{-s}} = \sup_{\|\psi\|_{A^s}=1} |\langle \phi, \psi\rangle| .$$

Remark 1.4. *When $s = 0$ we recover the standard Wiener algebra, $\|\phi\|_{A^0} = \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^1}$. Its dual space will be denoted as*

$$(A^0)' = \mathcal{F}L^\infty = \{f : \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 1.5 (Consistency of $\mathcal{A}$, A^0 and A^1)**.** *For every ϕ in the Schwarz class of test functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leqslant \|\phi\|_{A^0} \leqslant \|\phi\|_{A^1}.$$

Proof: Simply observe that, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|\mathcal{F}_{k\to K}[\phi]\|_{L^1_K L^\infty_x} = \int\limits_K \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}_{k\to K}[\phi](x,K)| dK \leqslant \int\limits_K \int\limits_X |\mathcal{F}_{x,k\to X,K}[\phi](X,K)|\, dX dK = \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^1} = \|\phi\|_{A^0}.$$

$\square$

This leads to the following

---

[1] One might think that since W^0 is a probability measure, W^ε would easily be seen converge to W^0 in some Banach space of measures. However, for $\psi^\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $W^\varepsilon = W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ may not even be in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ [29]. In that case, $\int W^\varepsilon dx dk = \|\psi^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2}$ in Cauchy-principal-value sense, but W^ε does not define a finite measure at all. Using the smoothed Wigner transform, also known as Husimi transform, instead of the Wigner transform is one way to get results in norms for measures [18]. Working in spaces different from measures, as we do here, is another option.

Theorem 1.6. *For any* $\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 1$,

$$\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} = \|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} = 1.$$

Proof: First of all, recall that $\mathcal{F}L^\infty = (A^0)'$. Now simply repeat the computation of equation (15); this shows $\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} \leqslant 1$; equality follows by selecting $\phi_R = e^{-\pi R(x^2+k^2)}$, and taking $\sup_{R\to 0} |\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon], \phi_R\rangle|$ (observe that $\|\phi_R\|_{A^0} = 1$).

The estimate $\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} \leqslant 1$ follows in the same way. To show that $\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} = 1$ it suffices to take ϕ_R as before, and compute $\|\phi_R\|_{A^1} = 1 + CR^{\frac{3n}{2}}$. $\square$

In view of Theorem 1.6, we can mimic the original construction of Wigner measures as in [26]: given any family $\{\psi^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ with $\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 1$, use Theorem 1.6 to apply the Banach-Alaoglou Theorem, and conclude that there exists a Wigner measure $W^0 \in A^{-1}$ (up to extraction of a subsequence), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall \phi \in A^1 \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left|\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon] - W^0, \phi\rangle\right| = 0, \qquad \|W^0\|_{A^{-1}} \leqslant 1, \\ &\forall \phi \in A^0 \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left|\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon] - W^0, \phi\rangle\right| = 0, \qquad \|W^0\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} \leqslant 1. \end{aligned}$$

As with all compactness results, the limit is not automatically meaningful: W^0 could be, e.g., 0. Corollary 2.5 settles this question under mild regularity assumptions.

2 Main Results

2.1 Assumptions

The first assumption outlines the regime for which our results apply. It will always be taken to hold in this paper.

Assumption 1. *One of the following alternatives holds:*

(i) Problem (1) *is defocusing, i.e.* $b > 0$*; the problem is mass-subcritical or mass critical, i.e.* $0 < \sigma \leqslant \frac{2}{n}$*, and*

$$b(\varepsilon) = O\big(\varepsilon^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}}\big). \tag{17}$$

(ii) Problem (1) *is defocusing, i.e.* $b > 0$*; the problem is mass-supercritical and energy-subcritical, i.e.* $\frac{2}{n} < \sigma < \frac{2}{(n-2)_+}$*, and*

$$\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\frac{b(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{2}{n\sigma-2}} < \frac{n\sigma-2}{n\sigma}\left(\frac{2}{n\sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{n\sigma-2}} \tag{18}$$

(iii) Problem (1) *is focusing* $b < 0$*; the exponent is mass-subcritical or mass-critical, i.e.* $0 < \sigma \leqslant \frac{2}{n}$*; and*

$$\frac{|b(\varepsilon)|}{\varepsilon^{n\sigma}} < \frac{2}{(\sigma+1)n\sigma C_*^{GN}}. \tag{19}$$

The constant C_*^{GN} *is defined in terms of the sharp constant for the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality* $C_{q,p,n}^{GN}$*, see Corollary A.7.*

In some cases, we may have to strengthen Assumption 1 as follows:

Assumption 2. *If part (i) of Assumption 1 holds, assume in addition*

$$b(\varepsilon) = o\big(\varepsilon^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}}\big).$$

If part (iii) of Assumption 1 holds, assume in addition

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = o\big(\varepsilon^{n\sigma}\big).$$

The third assumption contains the natural generic scaling for the initial data ψ_0^ε.

Assumption 3 (Scaling of $\{\psi_0^\varepsilon\}$)**.** *The initial data* ψ_0^ε *of problem* (1) *satisfy the following:*

$$\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 1, \qquad \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^1} = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \qquad \|\widehat{\psi_0^\varepsilon}\|_{H^1} = O(1). \tag{20}$$

Finally, the fourth assumption is a technical one, which may well be eventually avoidable with some sharper manipulations. It is needed for some our results here however, and it will be stated explicitly when it is used.

Assumption 4. *If* $n = 3$*, assume* $0 < \sigma < \frac{3}{2}$.

2.2 H^1 well posedness for the NLS

Well-posedness for problems of type (1) in the regime of interest is well understood for the most part, see e.g., [12] – although typically results are not given in the semiclassical scaling. One particular issue that is not always given a very clear treatment, is the explicit assumptions on ψ_0^ε in order for the problem (1) to have a global-in-time solution in the focusing case. In this Section we establish the precise results that we need for our asymptotic analysis.

Theorem 2.1 (Conservation of energy)**.** *Let Assumptions 1, 3 hold; moreover let* $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *be an interval,* $\psi^\varepsilon(t) \in C(J, H^1)$ *satisfy equation* (1)*, and denote the energy by*

$$E[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] := \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{b}{2\sigma+1}\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}}^{2\sigma+2}$$

With this regularity energy conservation can be justified, i.e. $E[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] = E[\psi_0^\varepsilon]$ $\forall t \in J$*, and it follows that*

$$\exists C_E = C_E(\sigma, n) \qquad \varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(J,L^2)} \leqslant C_E(\sigma, n).$$

See Section 4.1 for the proof.

Theorem 2.2 (Global H^1 solutions)**.** *Consider the initial value problem* (1)*, under Assumptions 1 and 3. Then problem* (1) *has a global solution* $\psi^\varepsilon \in C(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ *with*

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R},L^2)} \leqslant C_E(\sigma, n) \tag{21}$$

See Section 4.2 for the proof.

Theorem 2.3 (First moments)**.** *Let* ψ^ε *be the solution of problem* (1)*, under Assumptions 1, 3 and 4.*

Then, for any multi-index $|a| = 1$*, there exists a constant* C *depending only on* n, σ *so that*

$$\|x^a\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leqslant C\left(\|x^a\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t}\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau\right). \tag{22}$$

See Section 4.3 for the proof.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ *be the solution of problem* (1)*, under Assumptions 1, 3 and 4.*

Then, for any $T > 0$

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = 1, \qquad \|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],H^1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \qquad \|\widehat{\psi^\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],H^1)} = O(1). \tag{23}$$

In other words, the scaling of Assumption 3 for the initial data is preserved for $t \in [-T, T]$.

Proof: The result follows by inspection of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3. Observe that large in ε timescales, $T = T(\varepsilon)$, can also be allowed depending on more precise information; see Table 4 in Section 3.1.

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1)*, under Assumptions 1, 3 and 4.*

Then there exists a Wigner measure $W^0(t) = w - * \lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0} W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)]$ (up to extraction of a subsequence), and it accounts for all the mass of the problem, i.e.

$$\int W^0(t)dxdk = 1.$$

See Section 4.4 for the proof, which is based on Proposition 1.7 of [15].

2.3 Energy bounds

Theorem 2.6 (Energy bounds for generalized wavepackets)**.** *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1) *with initial data ψ_0^ε, under Assumptions 1, 2, 3 and 4.*

Assume moreover that ψ_0^ε is a generalized wavepacket *in the sense that*

$$\exists x_0, v \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \textit{so that} \quad \|\nabla\left(\psi_0^\varepsilon(x+x_0)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{L^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \||x|\psi_0^\varepsilon(x+x_0)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{L^2} = o\big(1\big). \tag{24}$$

Then, for any $T > 0$

$$\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \delta(2vt + x_0, \frac{v}{2\pi})\|_{A^{-1}} = o\big(1\big) \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T].$$

See Section 4.6 for the proof. See Section 3.1 for concrete examples of families ψ_0^ε satisfying condition (24).

In fact if we relax the assumptions of the previous Theorem, we obtain a weaker result which helps put on a precise footing the "narrowband" assumption widely used in applications. Indeed, noninear Schrödinger equations are often derived as "narrow spectrum approximations" to more complicated nonlinear wave equations [24]. This is self-consistent only as long as the solutions to these narrowband models stay narrowband.

Theorem 2.7 (Narrowband problems)**.** *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1) *with initial data ψ_0^ε, under Assumptions 1, 2 and 3.*

Assume moreover that ψ_0^ε is L^2-narrowband *in the sense that*

$$\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \textit{so that} \quad \|\nabla\left(\psi_0 e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{L^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{25}$$

Then, for any $t > 0$, $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ stays L^2-narrowband, i.e.

$$\|\nabla\left(\psi^\varepsilon(t)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{L^2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{26}$$

See Section 4.7 for the proof.

2.4 Wiener algebra asymptotics

Theorem 2.8 (NLS on the Wiener algebra and A^s spaces)**.** *Let $s \geqslant 0$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be a solution of*

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\Delta\psi^\varepsilon - b(\varepsilon)|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \qquad\qquad \psi_0^\varepsilon \in A^s. \tag{27}$$

Moreover, for some $T > 0$, assume in addition that

$$|b(\varepsilon)|\,\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^s}^{2\sigma} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{D(s,n)(2\sigma+1)T} \tag{28}$$

for a known parameter $D(s,n) > 0$ depending only on s, n (and with $D(0,n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Then

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{A^s} \leqslant (1 + \frac{1}{2\sigma})\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^s} \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T]. \tag{29}$$

See Section 4.8 for the proof.

Technically, Theorem 2.8 provides a self-contained framework for local in time solvability of equation (27), departing from the L^2-based standard path. It is worth noting that related lines of investigation have appeared in the literature recently [8, 20, 25]. In our case, the A^0-only framework would have some advantages, such as allowing for larger integer exponents σ when $n > 2$, or for wavefields with very slow spatial decay. However, we will not pursue an A^0-only approach here, because as was seen earlier we need the L^2-based framework in order for standard facts about the Wigner transform to hold. A question that arises is whether the setup of a framework for Wigner transforms in the A^0-only framework might be fruitful.

Theorem 2.9 (Asymptotics on the Wiener algebra)**.** *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1) *under Assumptions 1 and 3. Assume moreover that $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\frac{|b(\varepsilon)|}{\varepsilon} \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma} = o(1). \tag{30}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)$ the Schrödinger free propagator as in definition 4.4, and by $\mathbb{T}(t)$ the phase-space free propagator as in definition 4.8.

Then, for any $T > 0$,

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0} = o(1) \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T] \tag{31}$$

$$\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \mathbb{T}(t)W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} = o(1) \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T]. \tag{32}$$

See Section 4.10 for the proof. See Section 3.1 for concrete examples of families ψ_0^ε satisfying condition (30).

Theorem 2.10 (Asymptotics on A^{-1})**.** *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1) *with initial data ψ_0^ε under Assumptions 1 and 3. Assume moreover that $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, for some $T > 0$*

$$\frac{|b(\varepsilon)|}{\varepsilon} \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma} \leqslant \frac{1}{(2\sigma+1)T}, \tag{33}$$

and ψ_0^ε is L^1-narrowband *in the sense that*

$$\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n \qquad \frac{\|\nabla\left(\psi_0^\varepsilon\, e^{-\frac{iv\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{A^0}}{\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}} = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{34}$$

Recall also that $\mathbb{T}(t)$ is the phase-space free propagator, as in definition 4.8.

Then, it follows that

$$\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \mathbb{T}(t)W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} = o(1) \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T]. \tag{35}$$

See Section 4.11 for the proof. See Section 3.1 for concrete examples of families ψ_0^ε satisfying conditions (33), (34).

Remark 2.11. *The following remarks are in order:*

1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.9, we don't necessarily gain much by turning to phase-space and Wigner transforms, since we already have $\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0} = o(1)$. In other words, these nonlinearities are weak whichever way one looks at them, and this is simply a new, non-parametric way of showing that.*

   In contrast, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.10, we would find that $\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0} = O(1)$, but yet $\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \mathbb{T}(t)W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} = o(1)$. In other words, the nonlinearity would be large when looking at point values of ψ^ε ("microscopic" information), but not when looking at $\langle W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon], \phi\rangle$ for regular enough observables ϕ ("macroscopic" information).

2. *Clearly, by taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ in equations* (32), (35) *we can control the Wigner measure. Denoting (up to extraction of subsequences) $W_0^0 = w - * \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]$, $W^0(t) = w - * \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)]$, it follows that $W^0(x,k,t) = W_0^0(x - 4\pi kt, k)$.*

In that sense equations (32), (35) are stronger than control of the Wigner measure in a non-trivial way, since we have control of the observables (i.e. the scalar numbers $\langle W^\varepsilon(t), \phi\rangle$ for $\phi \in A^1$) without having to justify the technical aspects of passing to the limit, as in Corollary 2.5. (This way, e.g., Assumption 4 can be bypassed altogether.)

2.5 Pseudodifferential calculus

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem (1) with initial data ψ_0^ε under Assumptions 1 and 3. Assume moreover that $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, and that there exists an $\eta > 0$ so that*

$$\|\psi_0^\hbar\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}+\eta}} = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}-\eta}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad |b(\varepsilon)| = O\left(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma+\eta}\right).$$

Then, it follows that for any $T > 0$

$$\|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \mathbb{T}(t)W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}} = o(1) \qquad \forall t \in [-T, T]. \tag{36}$$

See Section 4.13 for the proof.

3 Discussion

3.1 Concrete examples

Here we examine a collection of possible explicit choices for ψ_0^ε, of the form $\psi_0^\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x - x_0)^\varepsilon e^{i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In what follows it suffices to study the "centered" profiles u_0^ε – which are all normalized to $\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. The computations used can be found in Appendix C.

Table 1.

#	Name	$u_0^\varepsilon(x)$	Parameter range	Remarks
1.	Wavepacket	$\varepsilon^{-\frac{n\beta}{2}} a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^\beta})$	$0 \leqslant \beta < 1$	$\|a\|_{L^2} = 1$, $a \in H^1 \bigcap \mathcal{F}H^1 \bigcap A^1$
2.	Radial chirp	$\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}}+i\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)x^2}$	$0 \leqslant \beta < 1$	$A > 0$, $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{R}$
3.	Monodirectional chirp	$\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}}x^2 - i\frac{\pi z}{2\varepsilon}x_1^2}$	$0 \leqslant \beta < 1$	$A > 0$, $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{R}$

In this Section we will work out explicitly what the assumptions of our results mean. This will provide a concrete way to compare these results with the state of the art. In the following table the Fourier transforms of our concrete wavefunctions are given and several conditions which appeared earlier are worked out explicitly:

Table 2.

#	$\widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon(k)$	Eq. (18)	Eq. (25)	Eq. (24)
1.	$\varepsilon^{\frac{n\beta}{2}}\widehat{a}(k\varepsilon^\beta)$	$b = O\left(\varepsilon^{1+\frac{\beta(n\sigma-2)}{2}}\right)$	Always	$\beta > 0$
2.	$\left(\frac{2A\varepsilon^\beta}{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-2\pi\frac{(k\varepsilon^\beta)^2}{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}}$	$b = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta(n\sigma-2)}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n\sigma-2)}{2}}\right)\right)$	$\beta > 0$	$\beta > 0$
3.	$\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta n}{2}}\frac{\sqrt{A}\,2^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}}e^{-2\pi\frac{(k_1\varepsilon^\beta)^2}{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}-2\pi\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(k_j\varepsilon^\beta\right)^2}{A}}$	$b = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta(n\sigma-2)}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n\sigma-2)}{2}}\right)\right)$	$\beta > 0$	$\beta > 0$

Using the explicit form of the Fourier transform we check that equation (34) is always satisfied, and equations (30) yield concrete scalings of $|b| = |b(\varepsilon)|$:

Table 3.

#	Eq. (30)	Eq. (33)	Eq. (34)
1.	$\|b\|\varepsilon^{-1-n\beta\sigma} = o\,(1)$	$\|b\|\varepsilon^{-1-n\beta\sigma} = O\,(1)$	Always
2.	$\|b\|\left(\varepsilon^{-1-n\beta\sigma} + \varepsilon^{-1-(1-\beta)n\sigma}\right) = o(1)$	$\|b\|\left(\varepsilon^{-1-n\beta\sigma} + \varepsilon^{-1-(1-\beta)n\sigma}\right) = O(1)$	$\beta > 0$
3.	$\|b\|\left(\varepsilon^{-1-\beta n\sigma} + \varepsilon^{-1-\sigma(2\beta-\beta n-1)}\right) = o(1)$	$\|b\|\left(\varepsilon^{-1-\beta n\sigma} + \varepsilon^{-1-\sigma(2\beta-\beta n-1)}\right) = O(1)$	$\beta > 0$

Finally, working for these particular wavefunctions, we can extend the timescale T to large times, $T = T(\varepsilon)$, $\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} T(\varepsilon) = +\infty$. Observe that in many cases we get timescales of the form $T(\varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\mu}\right)$ (for some appropriate $\mu > 0$ in each case), i.e. much longer than the standard Ehrenfest time, $T(\varepsilon) = O\left(ln\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$, [9]. Note that $|b| = |b(\varepsilon)|$ below has to satisfy the appropriate smallness condition from Table 3.

Table 4.

#	Corollary 2.4	Theorem 2.6	Theorem 2.9
1.	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{1+n\beta\sigma}}{\lvert b\rvert}\right)$
2.	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lvert b\rvert}\left(\varepsilon^{n\beta\sigma} + \varepsilon^{(1-\beta)n\sigma}\right)\right)$
3.	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = o\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-1}\right)$	$T(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lvert b\rvert}\left(\varepsilon^{n\beta\sigma} + \varepsilon^{\sigma(2\beta-\beta n-1)}\right)\right)$

For Theorem 2.10 we do recover an Ehrenfest-like timescale. (This can be compared with the $T(\varepsilon) = O\left(ln\left(ln\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right)$ found in some comparable results for coherent states; see, e.g., Theorem 1.15 in [9].)

Table 5.

#	Theorem 2.10
1.	$(1+T(\varepsilon)^2)e^{CT(\varepsilon)}\lvert b\rvert\varepsilon^{-\beta(1+n\sigma)} = o(1)$
2.	$(1+T(\varepsilon)^2)e^{CT(\varepsilon)}\lvert b\rvert\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta(1+n\sigma)} + \varepsilon^{(\beta-1)(1+n\sigma)}\right) = o(1)$
3.	$(1+T(\varepsilon)^2)e^{CT(\varepsilon)}\lvert b\rvert\varepsilon^{-\beta n\sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta} + \varepsilon^{2\beta-\frac{3}{2}}\right)\left(1 + \varepsilon^{2\sigma\beta-\sigma-\beta+\frac{1}{2}}\right) = o(1)$

Observe moreover that condition (30) scales linearly, in the sense that

$$\|U_0^\varepsilon + u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma} = O\left(\|U_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma} + \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma}\right).$$

Thus Theorem 2.9 can be used to establish a linear regime for multiple waves interactions; for example, if $|b(\varepsilon)| = o(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma B})$, then Theorem 2.9 applies for

$$\psi_0^\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{-n\beta_j} a_j\left((x-x_0)\varepsilon^{-\beta_j}\right) e^{i\frac{v_j\cdot(x-x_0)}{\varepsilon}+i\theta_j},$$

as long as $B = \max_j\{\beta_j\}$, thus controlling any multiple-wave-interaction that can appear. This is directly comparable with the aforementioned Theorem 1.15 of [9].

3.2 Comments on the main results

For linear problems, the semiclassical regime is understood essentially in terms of the scaling

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = 1, \qquad \|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],H^1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right), \qquad \|\widehat{\psi^\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],H^1)} = O(1).$$

It is in this regime that the Wigner transform is a natural object, and can be thought of as (close to) a phase-space probability density, reminiscent of classical statistical mechanics. However, as was seen in Corollaries 2.4, 2.5, these scalings for ψ^ε do not come for free in the nonlinear case. In that light, we can say that Assumption 1 (i.e. some "smallness of $|b(\varepsilon)|$") is needed for a regular Wigner measure theory. This of course goes back to control of the kinetic energy, Theorem 2.1.

On the other hand, Theorem 2.12 yields $|b(\varepsilon)| = o(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma})$ as a scaling where the nonlinearity is "always negligible". This is reasonable, by virtue of the back-of-the-envelope computations

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leqslant \frac{|t|}{\varepsilon}\||b(\varepsilon)|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^\infty([-t,t],L^2)}.$$

and

$$\|b(\varepsilon)|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \leqslant |b(\varepsilon)|\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}^{2\sigma} \leqslant C|b(\varepsilon)|\|\psi^\varepsilon\|_{H^{\frac{n}{2}+\eta}}^{2\sigma} = O\left(|b(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-n\sigma-2\eta\sigma}\right)$$

Thus, between Assumption 1 and Theorem 2.12 we have a regular and non-trivial regime of

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma} < -b(\varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon^{n\sigma} && \text{for focusing problems, and,} \\ &\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma} < b(\varepsilon) \leqslant \varepsilon^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}} && \text{for defocusing problems.} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

This regime is covered by Theorem 2.6, which shows that wavepackets are surprisingly robust – even in cases where the nonlinearity is asymptotically large, in the sense of equation (2), i.e. when $\frac{\varepsilon}{|b(\varepsilon)|} = o(1)$. This fact comes as an upset to a significant extent, since for more than a decade the feeling has been that Wigner measures for NLS with power nonlinearities are unstable and inherently impractical, following a series of precise negative results [5, 6, 7].

There are other asymptotic approaches in the literature which apply to even stronger nonlinearities, e.g. [10, 21, 22, 32], but they are parametric and seem to be valid only before caustics appear. Another key difference is that in our case the nonlinear energy of ψ_0^ε is asymptotically large in ε, while in the aforementioned results it is typically of $O(1)$. In any case, it is fair to say that the results of [10, 21, 22, 32] are not directly comparable to Theorem 2.6.

Theorems 2.9, 2.10 allow for nonlinearities only mildly stronger than the $O\left(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma}\right)$ threshold, but they are applicable to more general initial data than wavepackets. In fact, Theorem 2.9 applies to WKB wavefunctions, i.e. $\psi_0^\varepsilon(x) = a(x)e^{i\frac{S(x)}{\varepsilon}}$, as well. A question which naturally arises here would be to estimate the A^0 norm of WKB wavefunctions, i.e. work out what condition (30) means for $|b(\varepsilon)|$, and in particular whether it can accommodate $\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma} = o(|b(\varepsilon)|)$. Moreover, the question whether these results apply to non-integer σ as well arises naturally.

It is interesting to note that if we relax condition (24) of Theorem 2.6 to (25) in Theorem 2.7, i.e. ask for localization only in Fourier space, we retain some control on the support of the Wigner measure. Namely, the Wigner measure will remain supported on the set $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{\frac{v}{2\pi}\}$ for any $t \in [-T, T]$. However, apparently we cannot guarantee that the Wigner measure satisfies $W^0(t) = \mathbb{T}(t)W_0^0$. In other words some “small caustics” can presumably take place and affect the limit in ε. Caustics in a stationary narrowband wavefield are known to appear, e.g., for the MMT equation [27].

4 Proofs

4.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof of Part (i): Assume that part (i) of Assumption 1 holds. Then, by virtue of conservation of energy, Lemma A.1 and Corollary A.7

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{n\sigma}{2}} b \left(\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\right)^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}} \tag{38}$$

Now with the help of Lemma A.2 we conclude

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{n\sigma}{2}} b \left(\frac{n\sigma}{2}\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + 1 - \frac{n\sigma}{2}\right).$$

Using equations (17), (20) the result follows.

Proof of Part (ii): Assume that part (ii) of Assumption 1 holds. Equation (38) is still valid; we will have to proceed differently from now on though. Setting $I(t) := \varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}$ we have

$$I(t) \leqslant I(0) + \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{n\sigma}{2}} b\right) I^{\frac{n\sigma}{2}}(t).$$

So for Lemma A.9 to apply to $I(t)$ we need

$$\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} < \frac{n\sigma - 2}{n\sigma}\left(\frac{n\sigma}{2}\varepsilon^{-\frac{n\sigma}{2}} b\right)^{\frac{2}{2-n\sigma}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} < \frac{n\sigma - 2}{n\sigma}\left(\frac{2}{n\sigma}\right)^{\frac{2}{n\sigma-2}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{b}\right)^{\frac{2}{n\sigma-2}},$$

which is equivalent to condition (18). Now the result follows by virtue of Lemma A.9 and Assumption 3.

Proof of Part (iii): Assume that part (iii) of Assumption 1 holds. By virtue of conservation of energy and Corollary A.7

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2\|\psi(t)^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &= E[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \tfrac{b}{\sigma+1}\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}}^{2\sigma+2} = E[\psi_0^\varepsilon] + \tfrac{|b|}{\sigma+1}\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}}^{2\sigma+2} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant E[\psi_0^\varepsilon] + \tfrac{|b|}{\sigma+1}C_*^{GN}\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^{n\sigma} = E[\psi_0^\varepsilon] + \tfrac{|b|\varepsilon^{-n\sigma}}{\sigma+1}C_*^{GN}\left(\varepsilon\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}\right)^{n\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Lemma A.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2\|\psi(t)^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \leqslant E[\psi_0^\varepsilon] + \tfrac{|b|\varepsilon^{-n\sigma}}{\sigma+1}C_*^{GN}\left(\tfrac{n\sigma}{2}\varepsilon^2\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 - \tfrac{n\sigma}{2}\right) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \varepsilon^2\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2\left(1 - \tfrac{|b|\varepsilon^{-n\sigma}}{\sigma+1}C_*^{GN}\tfrac{n\sigma}{2}\right) \leqslant E[\psi_0] + \tfrac{|b|\varepsilon^{-n\sigma}}{\sigma+1}C_*^{GN}(1 - \tfrac{n\sigma}{2}).&\end{aligned}$$

Using equations (19), (20) the result follows.

□

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

The proof is a straightforward adaptation of that for Theorem 4.4.1 of [12], using the semiclassically scaled Strichartz estimates (Theorems 4.5 and A.13). For brevity we suppress the explicit dependence of ψ^ε on ε for this proof, and denote it simply by ψ.

Denote by $\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)$ the propagator of the free-space Schrödinger equation (see Definition 4.4, Theorem 4.5). We seek a mild solution of (1), i.e. a $\psi(t)$ such that

$$\psi(t) = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 - \frac{i}{\varepsilon}b\int_{\tau=0}^{t}\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\big(|\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi(\tau)\big)d\tau. \tag{39}$$

This motivates the definition of the operator

$$\mathbb{H} : \psi(t) \mapsto \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 + \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\int_{\tau=0}^{t}\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\Big(-b|\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi(\tau)\big)\Big)d\tau; \tag{40}$$

now equation (39) describes a fixed point of $\mathbb{H}$.

Set

$$r = 2\sigma + 2 \in \left(2, \frac{2n}{(n-2)_+}\right) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad r' = \frac{2\sigma+2}{2\sigma+1} \in \left(1 + \frac{(n-2)_+}{n+2}, 2\right), \tag{41}$$

and

$$q = \frac{4r}{n(r-2)} \in (2 + 2(2-n)_+, \infty) \tag{42}$$

so that (q, r) is a Strichartz admissible pair.

Now the appropriate space to look for the fixed point of $\mathbb{H}$ is the set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{M,T} = \Big\{\psi \in L^\infty\Big((-T,T), H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\Big) \bigcap L^q\Big((-T,T), W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\Big) \;\Big|& \\ \|\psi\|_{L^\infty\left((-T,T),H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} \leqslant M, \quad \|\psi\|_{L^q\left((-T,T),W^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)} \leqslant M\Big\},&\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

(for appropriate values of M, T to be determined later), equipped with the distance

$$d(\psi, \phi) = \|\psi - \phi\|_{L^q((-T,T),L^r)} + \|\psi - \phi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T)L^2)}. \tag{44}$$

Following standard arguments, it is proved in Lemma B.2 that $\mathbb{E}_{M,T}$ is a complete metric space, and in Lemma B.4 that for T small enough, M large enough $\mathbb{H}$ is a strict contraction on $\mathbb{E}_{M,T}$. Thus, by virtue of the Banach fixed point Theorem, $\mathbb{H}$ has a fixed point.

This shows the existence of a solution $\psi(t) \in C((-T,T), H^1)$ for some small enough T. In particular, if $\|\psi_0\|_{H^1} \leqslant \delta$, it follows that T can be selected to be $T = \min\{C\varepsilon^{1+\frac{2}{q}},\ C\varepsilon^{1+\frac{2}{q}}\delta^{-2\sigma}, C\varepsilon^{1+\frac{2}{q}}\delta^{\frac{2q\sigma}{2-q}}\}$. Thus the assumptions of some part of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for $J = [0, T]$, and there is an a priori $L^\infty((-T, T), H^1)$ bound for the solution. By iterating the process above a global solution can be constructed, and equation (21) follows by applying Theorem 2.1 to the global solution.

Uniqueness follows by standard arguments; see Proposition 4.2.3 in [12]. Appropriate stability results with respect to initial conditions are also standard, see Theorem 4.4.1 in [12].

□

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Multiplying eq. (1) with x^a we obtain

$$i\partial_t(x^a\psi^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon\Delta(x^a\psi^\varepsilon) - \frac{b}{\varepsilon}|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}(x^a\psi^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\nabla^a\psi^\varepsilon. \tag{45}$$

Claim: $|\psi(t)|^{2\sigma} \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^p)$ for some $p \geqslant 2$, $p > \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof of the Claim: We will first show that $|\psi(t)|^{2\sigma} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^p)$; to that end take a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $n = 1$, select $p = \infty$. Then by virtue of the Sobolev embeddings, $\||\psi|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant C\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$.

If $n = 2$, select $p = \max\{2, \frac{1}{\sigma}\}$; then $2\sigma p \in [2, \infty)$ and therefore the Sobolev embeddings yield $\||\psi|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^{2\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leqslant C\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

If $n \geqslant 3$, set

$$p = \frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{n-\eta}{n-2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2\sigma p = \frac{2(n-\eta)}{n-2}$$

for some $0 < \eta$ small enough. One readily checks that

$$n \geqslant 3, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{2}{n-2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad p > \frac{n}{2},$$

and moreover (with the Assumption 4, i.e. $\sigma < \frac{3}{2}$ if $n = 3$) $p \geqslant 2$. Thus Sobolev embeddings apply, to yield $\||\psi|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^{2\sigma p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Continuity follows essentially in the same way, from the continuity of $\psi(t)$ in H^1.

Now consider the linear problem in Ψ

$$i\partial_t\Psi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\Delta\Psi^\varepsilon - \tfrac{b}{\varepsilon}|\psi^\varepsilon(t)|^{2\sigma}\Psi = F(t), \qquad \Psi^\varepsilon(t=0) = \Psi^\varepsilon_0 \in L^2. \tag{46}$$

Since $p > \max\{1, \frac{n}{2}\}$, the homogeneous version of (46) has a unitary L^2 propagator $\|\mathcal{U}(t,\tau)\|_{L^2\to L^2} = 1$ [12], and the solution $\psi(t)$ of (46) satisfies

$$\Psi^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{U}(t,0)\Psi^\varepsilon_0 + \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{U}(t,\tau)F(\tau)d\tau \tag{47}$$

The result follows by setting $F(t) = \varepsilon\nabla^a\psi^\varepsilon$, $\psi_0 = x^a u_0$, and estimating the L^2 norm in equation (47). □

4.4 Proof of Corollary 2.5

The existence of a Wigner measure follows by a standard exploitation of the uniform estimates of Theorem 1.6 through the Banach-Alaoglou Theorem, as in [26]; by extracting a subsequence this can be made unique. However this is not automatically meaningful (the Wigner measure could be, e.g., 0).

In Proposition 1.7 of [15] two necessary and sufficient conditions were given so that the Wigner measure $W^0 := w - * \lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0} W^\varepsilon[f^\varepsilon]$ captures all the mass of $\{f^\varepsilon\}$, i.e. $\int W^0 dxdk = \limsup\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$. One readily checks that if Theorem 2.3 applies, then both conditions of being "ε-oscillatory" and "compact at infinity" follow, and therefore the Wigner measure does account for all the mass.

□

4.5 Some useful observations

Lemma 4.1 (Galilean invariance). *Let ψ satisfy*

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi + \varepsilon^2\Delta\psi - b|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi = 0, \qquad \psi(t=0) = \psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{48}$$

$x_0, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$*, and denote*

$$x(t) = x + 2vt + x_0, \qquad \theta(x,t) = -\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon} - \frac{v\cdot v}{\varepsilon}t, \qquad u(x,t) = \psi(x(t),t)e^{i\theta(x,t)}. \tag{49}$$

Then u satisfies

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t u + \varepsilon^2\Delta u - b|u|^{2\sigma}u = 0, \qquad u(x,0) = \psi_0(x+x_0)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}},$$

Proof: We compute

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t u(x,t) = i\varepsilon\left[\psi_t(x(t),t) + 2v\cdot\nabla\psi(x(t),t) - i\tfrac{|v|^2}{\varepsilon}\psi(x(t),t)\right]e^{i\theta(x,t)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^2\Delta u(x,t) &= \varepsilon^2\left[e^{i\theta(x,t)}\Delta\psi(x(t,t)) + \psi(x(t,t))\Delta e^{i\theta(x,t)} + 2\nabla\psi(x(t,t))\cdot\nabla e^{i\theta(x,t)}\right] = \\ &= \varepsilon^2\left[\Delta\psi(x(t,t)) - \tfrac{|v|^2}{\varepsilon^2}\psi(x(t),t) - 2i\tfrac{v}{\varepsilon}\cdot\nabla\psi(x(t),t)\right]e^{i\theta(x,t)},\end{aligned}$$

$$|u(x,t)|^{2\sigma}u(x,t) = |\psi(x(t),t)|^{2\sigma}e^{i\theta(x,t)}\psi(x(t),t).$$

By adding these up, we get

$$\left[i\varepsilon\partial_t + \varepsilon^2\Delta - b|u(x,t)|^{2\sigma}\right]u(x,t) = \left[i\varepsilon\partial_t + \varepsilon^2\Delta - b|\psi(x(t),t)|^{2\sigma}\right]\psi(x(t),t)e^{i\theta(x,t)} = 0.$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.2. *If the family u^ε satisfies*

$$\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = 1, \qquad \||x|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = o(1),$$

then its Wigner measure is $W^0 = \delta(x-0, k-0)$, and more precisely

$$\sup_{\|\phi\|_{A^1}=1} |\langle W^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon], \phi\rangle - \phi(0,0)| = o(1).$$

Proof: For brevity we will denote $W^\varepsilon(x,k) = W^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon](x,k)$, and X, K the Fourier dual variables to x, k. Observe first of all that

$$\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X,K) = \int_x e^{-2\pi x\cdot X}u^\varepsilon(x - \frac{\varepsilon K}{2})\overline{u^\varepsilon(x + \frac{\varepsilon K}{2})}dx \quad\Rightarrow\quad \|\widehat{W}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} = \widehat{W}^\varepsilon(0,0) = \|u^\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2} = 1.$$

and therefore

$$|\langle W^\varepsilon, \phi\rangle| \leqslant \|\widehat{W}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^1} = \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L^1}.$$

At this point, we will estimate the first moments of W^ε. For any $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{x,k} e^{-2\pi i[kK+xX]}(-2\pi ik_j)W^\varepsilon(x,k)dxdk = \\ &= \int_{x,k,y} e^{-2\pi ik[K+y]}(-2\pi ik_j)e^{-2\pi ixX}u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon y}{2})}dxdkdy = \\ &= \partial_{K_j}\int_x e^{-2\pi ixX}u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})}dx = \\ &= \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\int_x e^{-2\pi ixX}\left[u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\partial_{x_j}\overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})} - \overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})}\partial_{x_j}u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\right]dx \quad\Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow\quad |\partial_{K_j}\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X,K)| \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^2} = o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int\limits_{x,k} e^{-2\pi i[kK+xX]}x_jW^\varepsilon(x,k)dxdk &= 2\int\limits_{x,k,y} e^{-2\pi ik[K+y]}dke^{-2\pi ixX}x_ju(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon y}{2})\overline{u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon y}{2})}dxdy = \\ &= 2\int\limits_{x} e^{-2\pi ixX}x_ju(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})}dx = \\ &= \int\limits_{x} e^{-2\pi ixX}\left[(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})}+u(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})\overline{u(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2})}\right]dx \quad \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \quad |\partial_{X_j}\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X,K)| \leqslant \|u\|_{L^2}\|x_ju\|_{L^2} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X,K)-1| \leqslant (\varepsilon\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} + \||x|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^2})\sqrt{|X|^2+|K|^2}$. By assumption it follows that

$$|\langle W^\varepsilon - \delta(0,0), \phi\rangle| = \left|\langle \widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X,K)-1, \widehat{\phi}\rangle\right| \leqslant o(1)\|\phi\|_{A^1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ be the solution of problem* (1) *with initial data ψ_0^ε, under Assumptions 1, 2 and 3. Then,*

$$\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = O\left(\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}\right) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof: This is a small refinement of Theorem 2.1; the proof follows by straightforward adaptation of that of Theorem 2.1. The only difference is that Assumption 3 is now used.

□

4.6 Proof of Theorem 2.6

Denote

$$u^\varepsilon(x,t) = \psi^\varepsilon(x+2vt+x_0,t)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}-i\frac{v\cdot v}{\varepsilon}t}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 $u^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t u + \varepsilon^2\Delta u - b|u|^{2\sigma}u = 0, \qquad\qquad u(x,0) = \psi_0(x+x_0)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}},$$

and Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 apply.

Moreover, observe that Lemma 4.3 applies to $u^\varepsilon(t)$, thus

$$\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = O(\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Combining this with the assumption $\||x|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = o(1)$, and applying Theorem 2.3, it follows that

$$\||x|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} \leqslant C\left(\||x|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + \varepsilon\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t}\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau\right) = o(1) + |t|\varepsilon O(\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}), \tag{51}$$

in particular, for any T independent from ε,

$$\||x|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = o(1) \qquad \forall t \in [-T,T].$$

Now Lemma 4.2 applies to the family $\{u^\varepsilon(t)\}_\varepsilon$. The result follows by virtue of the elementary computation

$$W^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon(t)](x,k) = W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](x+2vt+x_0, k+\frac{v}{2\pi}).$$

□

4.7 Proof of Theorem 2.7

Denote

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = \psi^\varepsilon(x + 2vt, t) e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon} - i\frac{v\cdot v}{\varepsilon}t}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 $u^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t u + \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - b|u|^{2\sigma}u = 0, \qquad u(x,0) = \psi_0(x) e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}},$$

and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 apply. Moreover, observe that Lemma 4.3 applies to $u^\varepsilon(t)$, thus

$$\|\nabla u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2} = O(\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}) = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$\square$

4.8 The NLS on Wiener-algebra-type spaces

Definition 4.4 (Free-space Schrödinger propagator)**.** *The operator defined by*

$$\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t) : \psi(x) \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{k\to x}[e^{-4\pi^2 i k^2 \varepsilon t}\widehat{\psi}(k)]$$

is the propagator for the free-space semiclassical Schrödinger equation, i.e.

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\Delta\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \psi^\varepsilon(t=0) = \psi_0^\varepsilon.$$

We will also use its Fourier transform,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^\varepsilon(t) : \widehat{\psi}(k) \mapsto e^{-4\pi^2 i k^2 \varepsilon t}\widehat{\psi}(k).$$

Theorem 4.5 (The free space Schrödinger propagator; some standard regularity)**.** *Denote by*

$$\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-4\pi^2 i k^2 \varepsilon t}\widehat{\psi}(k)]$$

the propagator for the free-space semiclassical Schrödinger equation. Then, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0]\|_{L^p} = \|\mathcal{F}[\psi_0]\|_{L^p} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, p \in [1,\infty], \tag{52}$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0\|_{A^s} = \|\psi_0\|_{A^s} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, s \geqslant 0, \tag{53}$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0\|_{H^s} = \|\psi_0\|_{H^s} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{54}$$

$$\forall r \in [2,\infty], \quad t > 0, \quad \psi_0 \in L^{r'} \qquad \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})}(4\pi|t|)^{n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2})}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{r'}}. \tag{55}$$

Remark: Observe that if (q,r) is a Strichartz admissible pair, then the exponent in equation (55) becomes $n(\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{2}{q}$.

Proof: Equations (52), (53), (54) follows by inspection, and of course contain as special cases

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{A^0\to A^0} &= 1 \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2\to L^2} &= 1 \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

To prove equation (55), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 = \left(\tfrac{1}{4\pi i t\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i|x-y|^2}{4\varepsilon t}}\psi_0(y)dy \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant (4\pi\varepsilon|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1} \quad \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \quad \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^1\to L^\infty} &\leqslant \varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}}(4\pi|t|)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Equation (55) follows from equations (56), (57) by virtue of Riesz-Thorin interpolation, see Theorem B.7.

$\square$

Lemma 4.6 (A^s are almost-algebras for $s \geqslant 0$)**.** *For every* $s \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists a constant* $D = D(s,n)$ *so that*

$$\|fg\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant D\|f\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\||f|^{2\sigma}\|_{A^s} \leqslant D\|f\|^{2\sigma}_{A^s}, \qquad \|f^\sigma\|_{A^s} \leqslant C\|f\|^{\sigma}_{A^s}.$$

Moreover, as special cases we have

$$\|fg\|_{A^0} \leqslant \|f\|_{A^0}\|g\|_{A^0}, \qquad \|fg\|_{A^1} \leqslant \|f\|_{A^1}\|g\|_{A^0} + \|f\|_{A^0}\|g\|_{A^1}. \tag{58}$$

Proof: By a straightforward application of Lemma A.1 and Young's inequality for convolutions it follows that

$$\|fg\|_{A^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|(1+|X|)^s \int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{f}(X-S)\widehat{g}(S)dS\|_{L^1_X} \leqslant \| \int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|X-S|+|S|)^s \widehat{f}(X-S)\widehat{g}(S)dS\|_{L^1_X} \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant C'\| \int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n} \big(|X-S|^s + (1+|S|)^s\big)\widehat{f}(X-S)\widehat{g}(S)dS\|_{L^1_X} \leqslant C'(\|f\|_{A^s}\|g\|_{A^0} + \|f\|_{A^0}\|g\|_{A^s}) \leqslant 2C'\|f\|_{A^s}\|g\|_{A^s}.$$

With a variation of this computation, equation (58) follows.

□

Proof of Theorem 2.8: By writing equation (1) in mild form and taking the Fourier transform we obtain

$$\psi(t) = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 - \frac{i}{\varepsilon}b \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\big(|\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi(\tau)\big)d\tau, \tag{59}$$

Now we will use the observation that $\|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)f\|_{A^s} = \|f\|_{A^s}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorem 4.5), and the computation of Lemma 4.6 to obtain

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{A^s} \leqslant \|\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^s} + \tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \||\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|^{2\sigma+1}_{A^s} d\tau \leqslant \|\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^s} + D(s,n)\tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \|\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|^{2\sigma+1}_{A^s} d\tau. \tag{60}$$

Here observe that $D(0) = 1$.

Now equation (29) follows by virtue of Corollary A.10 (observe that equation (28) is exactly the condition required by the Corollary).

□

The following variation is a specialized version we will use in the sequel:

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ *be a solution of problem* (27), $T > 0$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ *and in addition assume*

$$|b(\varepsilon)|\, \|\psi^\varepsilon_0\|^{2\sigma}_{A^0} \leqslant \tfrac{\varepsilon}{(2\sigma+1)T} \tag{61}$$
$$\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^0} < \infty. \tag{62}$$

Then there exists a constant $0 < \mathbb{J} = O(1)$ *so that*

$$\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{A^0} \leqslant \|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^0}(1 + |t|\mathbb{J}e^{|t|\mathbb{J}}) \qquad \forall t \in [-T,T]. \tag{63}$$

Proof: By virtue of condition (61), Theorem 2.8 applies. So by the elementary computation

$$\nabla\left(|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon\right) = \nabla\left((\psi^\varepsilon)^{\sigma+1}(\overline{\psi}^\varepsilon)^\sigma\right) = (\sigma+1)|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\nabla\psi^\varepsilon + \sigma|\psi^\varepsilon|^{2(\sigma-1)}\psi^\varepsilon\nabla\overline{\psi}^\varepsilon$$

we obtain

$$\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{A^0} \leqslant \|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^0} + \tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \||\nabla\left(\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\right)\|_{A^0}d\tau \leqslant \|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{A^0} + |b|\tfrac{2\sigma+1}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{A^0}\|\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|^{2\sigma}_{A^0}d\tau.$$

At this point observe that, by virtue of Theorem 2.8,

$$\mathbb{J} := \frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\|\psi^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0)} = O(1),$$

thus, by applying the Gronwall inequality (Lemma A.8) the result follows.

□

4.9 Some Wigner calculus

Given a function $V = V(x,t)$, one easily checks [15, 26] that if $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ is a solution of

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\Delta\psi^\varepsilon - V\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \qquad \psi^\varepsilon(t=0) = \psi^\varepsilon_0, \qquad \|\psi^\varepsilon_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1,$$

then its WT, $W^\varepsilon(x,k,t) := W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](x,k)$, satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t W^\varepsilon + 4\pi k\cdot\nabla_x W^\varepsilon + \tfrac{ib}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi iSx}\,\widehat{V}(S,t)\,\left[W^\varepsilon(x,k+\tfrac{\varepsilon S}{2}) - W^\varepsilon(x,k-\tfrac{\varepsilon S}{2})\right]dS = 0, \tag{64}$$

where $\widehat{V}(S,t) = \mathcal{F}_{x\to S}[V(x,t)]$. Moreover [2, 3], denoting $\widehat{W^\varepsilon} = \mathcal{F}_{x,k\to X,K}[W^\varepsilon]$, $\widehat{W^\varepsilon_2} = \mathcal{F}_{k\to K}[W^\varepsilon]$,

$$\partial_t\widehat{W^\varepsilon} + 4\pi X\cdot\nabla_K\widehat{W^\varepsilon} - \frac{ib}{2}\int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{V}(S,t)\widehat{W^\varepsilon}(X-S,K)\frac{sin(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}S\cdot K)}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}S\cdot K}S\cdot K dS = 0, \tag{65}$$

$$\partial_t\widehat{W^\varepsilon_2} + \frac{2}{i}\nabla_K\cdot\nabla_x\widehat{W^\varepsilon_2} + \frac{ib}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{s=-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}\nabla V(x+s,t)\cdot ds\ \widehat{W^\varepsilon_2} = 0. \tag{66}$$

As long as problem (1) has an (appropriately regular) solution, we can set $V(x,t) := |\psi(x,t)|^{2\sigma}$ and treat equations (64), (65), (66) essentially as forced linear problems.

Definition 4.8 (Phase-space free propagator)**.** *Denote by* $\mathbb{T}(t)$ *the operator*

$$\mathbb{T}(t) : f(x,k) \mapsto f(x-4\pi kt,k). \tag{67}$$

This is the propagator for the free space Wigner equation, i.e.

$$f(t) = \mathbb{T}(t)f_0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \partial_t f(t) + 4\pi k\cdot\nabla_x f(t) = 0, \quad f(t=0) = f_0.$$

Moreover denote by $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)$ *its Fourier transform,*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t) : \widehat{f}(X,K) \mapsto \widehat{f}(X,K-4\pi Xt) = \mathcal{F}_{x,k\to X,K}[\mathbb{T}(t)f], \tag{68}$$

so that

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)\widehat{f_0} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \partial_t\widehat{f}(t) + 4\pi X\cdot\nabla_X\widehat{f}(t) = 0, \quad \widehat{f}(t=0) = \widehat{f_0}.$$

Theorem 4.9 (A^s regularity for $\mathbb{T}(t)$)**.** *Let* $s > 0$, $\|f\|_{A^s} < \infty$; *then*

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)f\|_{A^s} \leqslant (2+(4\pi t)^2)^s\|f\|_{A^s}. \tag{69}$$

Proof: One readily observes that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{T}(t)f\|_{A^s} = \|(1+|X|+|K|)^s\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)\widehat{f}\|_{L^1} = \int\limits_{X,K}(1+|X|+|K|)^s|\widehat{f}(X,K-4\pi Xt)|dXdK = \\ = \int\limits_{X,K}\left(\tfrac{1+|X|+|K|}{1+|X|+|K-4\pi Xt|}\right)^s(1+|X|+|K-4\pi Xt|)^s|\widehat{f}(X,K-4\pi Xt)|dXdK \leqslant \\ \leqslant \sup_{X,K}\left(\tfrac{1+|X|+|K|}{1+|X|+|K-4\pi Xt|}\right)^s\int\limits_{X,K}(1+|X'|+|K'|)^s|\widehat{f}(X',K')|dX'dK' = \sup_{X,K}\left(\tfrac{1+|X|+|K|}{1+|X|+|K-4\pi Xt|}\right)^s\|f\|_{A^s}.\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by virtue of Lemma 4.12, i.e. by the observation that

$$\sup_{X,K}\frac{1+|X|+|K|}{1+|X|+|K-4\pi Xt|} \leqslant 2+(4\pi t)^2.$$

□

Corollary 4.10 (A^{-s} regularity for $\mathbb{T}(t)$)**.** *Let* $s > 0$, $\|f\|_{A^{-s}} < \infty$; *then*

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)f\|_{A^{-s}} \leqslant (2+(4\pi t)^2)^s\|f\|_{A^{-s}}. \tag{70}$$

Proof: One observes that, for any $f, \phi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\langle \mathbb{T}(t)f, \phi\rangle = \int f(x+4\pi kt, k)\overline{\phi}(x,k)\,dxdk = \int f(x',k')\overline{\phi}(x'-4\pi k't, k')\,dx'dk' = \langle f, \mathbb{T}(-t)\phi\rangle,$$

and therefore

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)f\|_{A^{-s}} = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{A^s}=1} |\langle \mathbb{T}(t)f,\phi\rangle| = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{A^s}=1} |\langle f, \mathbb{T}(-t)\phi\rangle| \leqslant \|f\|_{A^{-s}}(2+(4\pi t)^2).$$

☐

Lemma 4.11 ($L^p \to L^p$ bounds for $\mathbb{T}(t)$, $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)$)**.**

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} = \|\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \qquad \forall p \in [1,\infty].$$

Thus

$$\|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{A^0\to A^0} = 1, \qquad \|\mathbb{T}(t)\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty \to \mathcal{F}L^\infty} = 1.$$

Proof: Follows by inspection of equations (67), (68). ☐

Lemma 4.12. *For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist bounds $A < 1 < B$ so that, for all $x, k \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$A \leqslant \frac{1+|x|^2+|k|^2}{1+|x|^2+|k-4\pi xt|^2} \leqslant B,$$

with a particular selection being

$$B = 2+(4\pi t)^2, \qquad A = \frac{1}{2+(4\pi t)^2}.$$

Proof: Let us work first for $\frac{1+|x|^2+|k|^2}{1+|x|^2+|k-4\pi xt|^2} < B$; it suffices to show that there is a B such that

$$1+|x|^2+|k|^2 \leqslant B(1+|x|^2+|k-4\pi xt|^2) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \leqslant (B-1)(1+|x|^2+|k|^2) + B\big[(4\pi t)^2|x|^2 - 2(4\pi t)k\cdot x\big].$$

By adding and subtracting $\frac{|k^2|}{\gamma^2} + (4\pi t)^2\gamma^2|x|^2$ for some $\gamma > 0$, the inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leqslant (B-1)(1+|x|^2+|k|^2) + B\big[(2\pi t)^2|x|^2 - 2(2\pi t)k\cdot x\big] \quad \Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \leqslant [B-1] + \Big[B-1-\tfrac{B}{\gamma^2}\Big]|k|^2 + \big[B-1+B(4\pi t)^2(1-\gamma^2)\big]|x|^2 + B|\tfrac{k}{\gamma} - (4\pi t)\gamma x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For this to be positive it suffices that all of the following conditions hold

$$B \geqslant 1 \tag{71}$$

$$B - 1 - \frac{B}{\gamma^2} \geqslant 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma^2 \geqslant \frac{B}{B-1} \tag{72}$$

$$B-1+B(4\pi t)^2(1-\gamma^2) \geqslant 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{B-1}{B(4\pi t)^2} + 1 \geqslant \gamma^2. \tag{73}$$

Clearly, B will be larger than 1. Now, there exists $\gamma > 0$ so that equations (72), (73) are valid at the same time if and only if

$$\frac{B}{B-1} \leqslant \frac{B-1}{B(4\pi t)^2} + 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{B-1} \leqslant \frac{B-1}{B(4\pi t)^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (4\pi t)^2 \leqslant \frac{(B-1)^2}{B} = B - 2 + \frac{1}{B}.$$

In particular, it is sufficient that $B = 2 + (4\pi t)^2$.

For the other direction, observe that

$$A < \tfrac{1+|x|^2+|k|^2}{1+|x|^2+|k-4\pi xt|^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A < \tfrac{1+|x|^2+|k'+4\pi tx|^2}{1+|x|^2+|k'|^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tfrac{1+|x|^2+|k'|^2}{1+|x|^2+|k'+4\pi tx|^2} < \tfrac{1}{A}$$

which goes back to the previous computation. ☐

4.10 Proof of Theorem 2.9

First of all observe that Theorem 2.2 applies; moreover, equation (30) is stronger than condition (28) for $s = 0$, so Theorem 2.8 with $s = 0$ applies as well.

Proof of equation (31)**:** It follows from equation (59) that

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t) - \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0} \leqslant \frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}T\|\psi^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0)} \leqslant C\frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}T\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma}_{A^0} = o(1)$$

by assumption (30).

Proof of equation (32)**:**

Denote $W^\varepsilon(t) := W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)]$, $W_0^\varepsilon := W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]$ and $V(x,t) = |\psi^\varepsilon(x,t)|^{2\sigma}$. Now equation (65) in mild form reads

$$\partial_t\widehat{W}^\varepsilon + 4\pi X\cdot\nabla_K\widehat{W}^\varepsilon - ib\int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{V}(S)\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X-S,K)\frac{sin(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}S\cdot K)}{\varepsilon}dS = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad W^\varepsilon(t) = \widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t)W_0^\varepsilon + \tfrac{ib}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t}\widehat{\mathbb{T}}(t-\tau)\left[\int\limits_{S\in\mathbb{R}^n}\widehat{V}(S,\tau)\widehat{W}^\varepsilon(X-S,K,\tau)sin(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}S\cdot K)dS\right]d\tau,$$

and therefore, using Young's inequality for convolutions and Lemma 4.11,

$$\|W^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbb{T}(t)W_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} \leqslant C\frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t}\|\widehat{V}(\tau)\|_{L^1_X}\|W^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty}d\tau.$$

Now we use the computation of Theorem 1.6, namely

$$\|W^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} = 1;$$

and that of Lemma 4.6, namely

$$\|\widehat{V}(\tau)\|_{L^1} = \||\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\|_{A_0} \leqslant \|\psi(\tau)\|^{2\sigma}_{A^0};$$

and Theorem 2.8 for $s = 0$,

$$\|\psi(\tau)\|_{A^0} \leqslant (1+\frac{1}{2\sigma})\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0} \qquad \forall\tau\in[-T,T]$$

to obtain

$$\|W^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbb{T}(t)W_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{F}L^\infty} \leqslant C\frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma}_{A^0}$$

□

4.11 Proof of Theorem 2.10

First of all observe that equations (33), (34), imply

$$|b(\varepsilon)|\;\|\nabla\left(\psi_0^\varepsilon\,e^{-\frac{iv\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{A^0}\,\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma-1}_{A^0} = o(1) \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad |b(\varepsilon)|\;\|\nabla\left(\psi_0^\varepsilon\,e^{-\frac{iv\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|_{A^0}\,\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\,e^{-\frac{iv\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|^{2\sigma-1}_{A^0} = o(1) \qquad (74)$$

We will use this fact later.

Now denote

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = \psi^\varepsilon(x+2vt,t)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}-i\frac{v\cdot v}{\varepsilon}t}. \qquad (75)$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.1 $u^\varepsilon(t)$ satisfies

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t u + \varepsilon^2\Delta u - b|u|^{2\sigma}u = 0, \qquad u(x,0) = \psi_0(x)e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}, \qquad (76)$$

and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 apply to (76).

Moreover, (34) means Theorem 4.7 applies to (76).

Finally, denote

$$W^\varepsilon(t) := W^\varepsilon[u^\varepsilon(t)], \qquad W_0^\varepsilon := W^\varepsilon[u_0^\varepsilon] \qquad \text{and} \qquad V(x,t) = |u^\varepsilon(x,t)|^{2\sigma}. \tag{77}$$

Now using the propagator $\mathbb{T}(t)$, equation (66) can be recast in mild form as

$$W^\varepsilon(t) = \mathbb{T}(t)W_0^\varepsilon + \tfrac{ib}{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathbb{T}(t-\tau)\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{K\to k}\left[\int\limits_{s=-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}} \nabla V(x+s,\tau)\cdot ds\ \widehat{W}_2^\varepsilon(\tau)\right] d\tau = 0, \tag{78}$$

Thus, if we set

$$h(t) := W^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbb{T}(t)W_0^\varepsilon, \tag{79}$$

by virtue of corollary 4.10 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} &\leqslant C\tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon}(|t|+|t|^2) \sup_{\tau\in[0,t]} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{K\to k}\left[\int\limits_{s=-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}} \nabla V(x+s,\tau)\cdot ds\ \widehat{W}_2^\varepsilon(\tau)\right]\right\|_{A^{-1}} \leqslant \\ &\leqslant C\tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon}(|t|+|t|^2) \sup_{\substack{\tau\in[0,t]\\ \|\phi\|_{A^1}=1}} \left| \int\limits_{x,K\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int\limits_{s=-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}} \nabla V(x+s,\tau)\cdot ds\ \widehat{W}_2^\varepsilon(\tau)\overline{\widehat{\phi}}_2(x,K)dxdK\right| \leqslant \\ &\leqslant C\tfrac{|b|}{\varepsilon}(|t|+|t|^2) \sup_{\substack{\tau\in[0,t]\\ x,K\in\mathbb{R}^n}} \tfrac{\|\nabla V(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1[x-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2},x+\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}]}}{1+|K|} \sup_{\substack{\tau\in[0,t]\\ \|\phi\|_{A^1}=1}} \left|\left\langle (1+|K|)|\widehat{W}_2^\varepsilon(\tau)|, |\widehat{\phi}_2|\right\rangle\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

We will work with each term separately.

First of all observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1+|K|)|\widehat{W}_2^\varepsilon(\tau)|, |\widehat{\phi}_2|\rangle &\leqslant \int\limits_{x,K} (1+|K|)|u^\varepsilon(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2},\tau)\overline{u}^\varepsilon(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2},\tau)|\ |\widehat{\phi}_2(x,K)|dxdK \leqslant \\ &\leqslant \|u^\varepsilon(x-\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2},\tau)\overline{u}^\varepsilon(x+\tfrac{\varepsilon K}{2},\tau)\|_{L^\infty_K L^1_x}\|(1+|K|)\widehat{\phi}_2\|_{L^1_K L^\infty_x} \leqslant \|u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2\|\phi\|_{A^1} = \|\phi\|_{A^1} \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

by a repetition of the proof of Lemma 1.5.

Moreover, by an immediate consequence of the Hölder inequality (Theorem A.3), it follows that

$$\tfrac{\|\nabla V(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1[x-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2},x+\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}]}}{1+|K|} \leqslant \varepsilon \sup_{x,K\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^\infty([0,t],\Gamma_{x,K})} \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^\infty([0,t],C(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{82}$$

where we used the notation

$$\Gamma_{x,K} = \{x+\lambda K|\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}\}. \tag{83}$$

By collecting equations (80)-(82), it follows that

$$\|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} \leqslant C|b|(|t|+|t|^2)\ \|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^\infty([0,t],C(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leqslant C|b|(|t|+|t|^2)\|\nabla|u^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^\infty_\tau([0,t],A^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} \tag{84}$$

So now by virtue of the elementary computation

$$\|\nabla|u^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\|_{A^0} \leqslant \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{A^0}\|u^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma-1},$$

and by applying Theorem 2.8 for $s=0$ and Theorem 4.7 to obtain the estimate

$$\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0)}\|u^\varepsilon\|^{2\sigma-1}_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0)} \leqslant (1+|t|Ce^{|t|C})\left(1+\frac{1}{2\sigma}\right)^{2\sigma-1}\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma-1}$$

it follows that

$$\|\nabla|u^\varepsilon|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leqslant (1+|t|Ce^{|t|C})\left(1+\frac{1}{2\sigma}\right)^{2\sigma-1}\|\nabla\psi_0^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{A^0}\|\psi_0^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma-1}.$$

So, collecting everything,

$$\|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} \leqslant C(1+|t|+|t|^2+C|t|e^{C|t|})\ |b| \|\nabla \psi_0^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{A^0} \|\psi_0^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{v\cdot x}{\varepsilon}}\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma-1}. \tag{85}$$

Recalling equation (74), this becomes

$$\|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} = C(1+|t|+|t|^2+C|t|e^{C|t|})\ \cdot o(1). \tag{86}$$

Recalling the definitions of W^ε, u^ε (equations (77), (75)), the result follows by virtue of the elementary computation

$$W^\varepsilon(t)(x,k) = W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)](x+2vt, k+\tfrac{v}{2\pi}), \quad W_0^\varepsilon(x,k) = W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon](x, k+\tfrac{v}{2\pi}), \qquad \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} = \|W^\varepsilon[\psi^\varepsilon(t)] - \mathbb{T}(t)W^\varepsilon[\psi_0^\varepsilon]\|_{A^{-1}}.$$

□

4.12 H^m regularity for the NLS

Theorem 4.13 (Local H^m solutions for the NLS, $m > \frac{n}{2}$)**.** *Consider problem* (1)*, and let* $\psi_0^\varepsilon \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for some* $m > \frac{n}{2}$*. Then there exists an interval* $0 \in J^\varepsilon \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *so that the solution* $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ *will belong to* $C(J^\varepsilon, H^m(\mathbb{R}^n))$*.*

A sufficient conditions under which $[0,T] \subseteq J^\varepsilon$ *for all* ε *is discussed in Lemma 4.14.*

Proof: The proof is a straightforward adaptation of that of Theorem 4.10.1 in [12] to the semiclassical setting. It proceeds very similarly to that of Theorem 2.2; in fact stronger Sobolev regularity allows the use of L^∞ embeddings and makes several technical aspects much easier. More specifically, let $t, M > 0$ to be fixed later and

$$\mathbb{E}_{M,T}^m := \{\psi \in L^\infty((-T,T), H^m) \big| \|\psi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^m)} \leqslant M\}, \tag{87}$$

$$\widetilde{d}(\psi,\phi) = \|\psi - \phi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T)L^2)}. \tag{88}$$

The metric space $\left(\mathbb{E}_{M,T}^m, \widetilde{d}(\cdot,\cdot)\right)$ is complete, by virtue of Lemma B.2 (the proof works in the same way, it suffices to set $q=\infty$, $r=2$).

$\mathbb{H}$ is defined as in equation (40), and the main difference is that now, for any $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}^m$,

$$\|\mathbb{H}\psi\|_{L^\infty H^m} \leqslant \|\psi_0\|_{H^m} + \frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\int_{\tau=0}^{t} \|\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\left(|\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi(\tau)\right)\|_{H^m} d\tau \leqslant \|\psi_0\|_{H^m} + \frac{T|b|}{\varepsilon}M^{2\sigma+1} \tag{89}$$

by virtue of the embedding $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus clearly for T small enough, M large enough we have $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}^m \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}^m$, and with a similar computations $\mathbb{H}$ is a strict contraction. Thus local existence follows by the Banach fixed point Theorem.

Uniqueness and the blowup alternative follow by standard arguments (as does an appropriate statement about continuous dependence from the initial data). □

Lemma 4.14 (Long-time H^m solutions for weak nonlinearities, $m > \frac{n}{2}$)**.** *Let* $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$ *be the local* H^m *solution of* (1) *according to Theorem 4.13, and assume in addition that*

$$|b(\varepsilon)|\ \|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^m}^{2\sigma} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{C(2\sigma+1)T} \tag{90}$$

for some appropriate constant C*. Then*

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^m} \leqslant (1+\frac{1}{2\sigma})\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^m} = O\left(\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^m}\right) \qquad \forall t \in [0,T]$$

Remark 4.15. *In the usual scaling* $\|\psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{H^m} = O\left(\varepsilon^{-m}\right)$*; moreover denote* $m = \frac{n}{2} + \eta$ *for some* $\eta > 0$*. Now condition* (90) *becomes*

$$|b(\varepsilon)| = O\left(\varepsilon^{1+n\sigma+2\sigma\eta}\right)$$

Proof: By revisiting equation (89) we observe that

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{H^m} = \|\mathbb{H}\psi(t)\|_{H^m} \leqslant \|\psi_0\|_{H^m} + C\frac{|b|}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \|\psi(\tau)\|_{H^m}^{2\sigma+1} d\tau.$$

The proof is completed with the help of Corollary A.10. ☐

4.13 Proof of Theorem 2.12

We arrive to equation (80) with exactly the same manipulations as in Section 4.11; the difference will be in how we proceed from here. Instead of equation (82) we now have

$$\frac{\|\nabla V(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^1[x-\frac{\varepsilon K}{2},x+\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}]}}{1+|K|} \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon|K|} \sup_{x,K\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^2([0,t],\Gamma_{x,K})}. \tag{91}$$

Observe that Lemma 4.14 applies, therefore we know that $\psi^\varepsilon \in L^\infty([-T,T], H^{\frac{n}{2}+\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

We will estimate $\|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^2([0,t],\Gamma_{x,K})}$ with Sobolev embeddings (Theorem A.4) and trace Theorems (Corollary A.12). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla V(\tau)\|_{L^2([0,t],\Gamma)} = \|\nabla|\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^2([0,t],\Gamma)} \leqslant C\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\|\left(\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\right)^{2\sigma-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \leqslant \\ \leqslant C\|\nabla\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\|\left(\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\right)^{2\sigma-1}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta}(\Gamma)} \leqslant \|\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{H^{1+\frac{n-1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\psi^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n-1}{2}+\eta}}^{2\sigma-1} = \\ = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}-n\sigma-\eta}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

for some arbitrary $\eta > 0$.

So now using equations (91), (92) with (80) it follows that

$$\|h(t)\|_{A^{-1}} = O\left((1+|t|^2)|b(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^{-1-n\sigma-(2\sigma-1)\eta}\right).$$

☐

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Profs. S. Jin, C. Dafermos and M. Pulvirenti for their encouragement in the early stage of this work. Also, Profs. Th. Katsaounis, Th. Sapsis, G. Athanassoulis, S. Filippas, and Drs. I. Kyza, P. Antonelli, W. Cousins and R. Carles, for helpful discussions on various aspects of this project.

A Background

Lemma A.1. *For any* $a, b, q > 0$

$$(a+b)^q \leqslant C(a^q + b^q) \qquad \text{for} \qquad C = \left\{\begin{array}{c} 2^{q-1}, \quad q \geqslant 1, \\ 1, 0 \leqslant q \leqslant 1 \end{array}\right.$$

Proof: For $q \geqslant 1$, we use the convexity of $f(r) = r^q$, namely

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^q \leqslant \frac{a^q+b^q}{2} \qquad \forall a, b > 0$$

For $q < 1$, $f(r) = r^q$ is concave and therefore sub-additive. ☐

Lemma A.2 (Young's inequality for products)**.** *For every* $a, b \geqslant 0$, $p \in (1,\infty)$*,*

$$ab \leqslant \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad a^{\frac{1}{p}} b^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant \frac{1}{p} a + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) b. \tag{93}$$

Theorem A.3 (Hölder inequality)**.** *Let* f_i *be Lebesgue-measurable functions of* $\mathbb{R}^n$*. Then, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\| \prod_{i=1}^{N} f_i \|_{L^r} \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{N} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r},$$

$$\forall \theta \in [0,1] \qquad \|f\|_{L^r} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta}, \qquad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q},$$

and, for $1 \leqslant p < q \leqslant \infty$, $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$*,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(J)} \leqslant |J|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q(J)}.$$

Theorem A.4 (L^2 based Sobolev embeddings)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}), && \text{for all } q \in [2,\infty], \\
& H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^2), && \text{for all } q \in [2,\infty), \\
\text{for } 0 < s < \frac{n}{2} \quad & H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), && \text{for all } q \in [2, \frac{2n}{n-2s}], \\
\text{for } \frac{n}{2} < s \quad & H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), && \text{for } a = \min\{s - \frac{n}{2}, 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary A.5. *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall q \in [2, \frac{2n}{(n-2)_+}).$$

Theorem A.6 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg L^2-gradient inequality)**.** *For every* f *such that if*

$$f \in L^q, \qquad \nabla f \in L^2$$

then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C^{GN}_{q,p,n} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\theta} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}$$

for

$$1 < q < p < \frac{2n}{(n-2)_+}$$

and

$$\theta = \frac{2n(p-q)}{p[2n - q(n-2)]}.$$

Moreover, the sharp constant $C^{GN}_{q,p,n}$ *is known.*

Proof: See [1]. □

Corollary A.7. *Let* $\sigma \in \left(0, \frac{2}{(n-2)_+}\right)$*. Then, setting* $q = 2$, $p = 2\sigma + 2$, $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$*, in Theorem A.6 we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C^{GN}_{2,2\sigma+2,n} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n\sigma}{2\sigma+2}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\|f\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2\sigma+2}}{\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{n\sigma}} \leqslant \left(C^{GN}_{2,2\sigma+2,n}\right)^{2\sigma+2}.$$

The constant $\left(C^{GN}_{2,2\sigma+2,n}\right)^{2\sigma+2}$ *is referred to as* C^{GN}_{*}*, and by the sharpness of Theorem A.6 it satisfies*

$$C^{GN}_{*} = \sup_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \|f\|_{L^2} = 1}} \frac{\|f\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{2\sigma+2}}{\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{n\sigma}}.$$

Lemma A.8 (Gronwall inequality; integral form). *Let $T \in (0,+\infty)$, and denote $I = [0,T]$, $f,g,h \in C(I)$, $h(t) \geqslant 0$ $\forall t \in I$, and, for all $t \in I$,*

$$f(t) \leqslant g(t) + \int_0^t h(s)f(s)ds.$$

Then

$$f(t) \leqslant g(t) + \int_0^t g(s)h(s)\; e^{\int_s^t h(r)dr}\; ds,$$

and therefore

$$|f(t)| \leqslant ||g||_{L^\infty(I)} \left(1 + t||h||_{L^\infty(I)} e^{t||h||_{L^\infty(I)}}\right).$$

Lemma A.9 (Bootsrap argument). *Let $T > 0$, $M : [0,T] \to (0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying*

$$M(t) \leqslant A + B\big(M(t)\big)^\theta$$

for some $\theta > 1$, all $t \in [0,T]$, and some $A, B > 0$. Assume in addition that

$$A < \frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\big(\theta B\big)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}, \qquad M(0) \leqslant \big(\theta B\big)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}.$$

Then

$$M(t) \leqslant \frac{\theta}{\theta-1}A \qquad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

Proof: See, e.g., [16], or Lemma 7.22 in [11]. □

Corollary A.10 (Conditional nonlinear Gronwall inequality). *For some $T, B > 0$ let $f : [0,T] \to (0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function satisfying*

$$f(t) \leqslant f(0) + B \int_{\tau=0}^{t} \big(f(\tau)\big)^\theta d\tau \tag{94}$$

for some $\theta > 1$. Assume in addition

$$f(0) \leqslant \big(\theta BT\big)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Bf(0)^{\theta-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{\theta T}.$$

Then,

$$f(t) \leqslant \frac{\theta}{\theta-1} f(0) \qquad \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{95}$$

Proof: Set $M(t) = \max\limits_{\tau \in [0,t]} f(\tau)$. Then

$$M(t) \leqslant M(0) + BtM(t) \leqslant M(0) + BTM(t).$$

The result follows by observing that

$$M(0) = f(0) \leqslant \big(\theta BT\big)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad M(0) < \frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\big(\theta BT\big)^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}$$

and applying Lemma A.9 to $M(t)$. □

Theorem A.11 (Sobolev trace inequality: a special case). *Let $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$, $n > 1$. Then*

$$\|f|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\|_{H^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leqslant C\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof: See [14].

$\square$

Corollary A.12 (Sobolev trace inequality: a special case)**.** *Let* $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*,* $s > \frac{n-1}{2}$*,* $n > 1$*, and* Γ *a line in* $\mathbb{R}^n$*. Then*

$$\|f|_\Gamma\|_{H^{s-\frac{n-1}{2}}(\Gamma)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof: Straightforward by repeated application of Theorem A.11.

$\square$

Theorem A.13 (Basic Semiclassical Strichartz estimates)**.** *Let* (q, r) *be any admissible pair of indices (excluding endpoints for simplicity, i.e.* $r \in [2, \frac{2n}{(n-2)_+})$*,* $q = \frac{4r}{n(r-2)}$*),* $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *an interval, and* $F \in L^{q'}(J, L^{r'})$*. Denote by* $\psi(t)$ *the solution of*

$$\begin{gathered} i\psi_t + \varepsilon\Delta\psi + \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}F = 0, \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \in L^2, \end{gathered}$$

equivalently

$$\psi(t) = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 + \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_0^t \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau, \quad \psi_0 \in L^2.$$

Then there is a constant $C = C(q, n)$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} ||\int\limits_0^t \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau||_{L^\gamma(J,L^\rho)} &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{q}}||F||_{L^{q'}(J,L^{r'})}, && (96) \\ ||\mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0||_{L^\gamma(J,L^\rho)} &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}||\psi_0||_{L^2} && (97) \end{aligned}$$

for any admissible pair (γ, ρ)*, and therefore*

$$||\psi||_{L^\gamma(J,L^\rho)} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{1}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{q}}||F||_{L^{q'}(J,L^{r'})} + C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}||\psi_0||_{L^2}.$$

Moreover,

$$\psi \in C(J, L^2).$$

Proof: See e.g. [11, 34].

$\square$

B Some technical computations for the proof of Theorem 2.2

Lemma B.1 (Elementary regularity properties of the nonlinearity)**.** *Let* $\sigma \in \left(0, \frac{2}{(n-2)_+}\right)$ *and denote*

$$f_1(\psi) = \begin{cases} -b|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant |\psi| \leqslant 1, \\ -bu, & \text{if } 1 < |\psi|, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(\psi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant |\psi| \leqslant 1, \\ -bu(|\psi|^{2\sigma} - 1), & \text{if } 1 < |\psi|. \end{cases} \tag{98}$$

Claim 1: *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *depending only on* σ

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(\psi) - f_1(\phi)| &\leqslant C|\psi - \phi|, && (99) \\ |f_2(\psi) - f_2(\phi)| &\leqslant C\big(|\psi|^{2\sigma} + |\phi|^{2\sigma}\big)|\psi - \phi|. && (100) \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2: *Moreover, let* $\psi(x), \phi(x)$ *be complex-valued Schwarz functions,* $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, and recall equation (41), i.e.* $r = 2\sigma + 2$*. By redefining* C *if necessary, the following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1(\psi) - f_1(\phi)\|_{L^2} &\leqslant C\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^2}, && (101) \\ \|f_2(\psi) - f_2(\phi)\|_{L^{r'}} &\leqslant C\big(\|\psi\|_{L^r}^{2\sigma} + \|\phi\|_{L^r}^{2\sigma}\big)\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^r}. && (102) \end{aligned}$$

Claim 3: *By redefining C if necessary, the following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla f_1(\psi)\|_{L^2} &\leqslant \quad C\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}, &(103)\\ \|\nabla f_2(\psi)\|_{L^{r'}} &\leqslant \quad C\|\psi\|_{L^r}^{2\sigma}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^r}. &(104)\end{aligned}$$

Remark: f_1, f_2 are chosen so that $f_1 + f_2 = -b|\psi|^{2\sigma}\psi$ reproduces the nonlinearity of (1).

Proof: Without loss of generality we carry out the proof for $b = -1$.

Claim 1: Denote

$$\theta(\rho) = \begin{cases} \rho^{2\sigma}, \text{ if } 0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1, \\ 1, \text{ if } 1 < \rho, \end{cases}$$

and $\psi = x + iy$, $\phi = x' + iy'$. We can recast $f_1(\psi)$, $f_2(\psi)$ as

$$F_1(x, y) = \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)(x + iy) = \begin{cases} (x^2 + y^2)^\sigma(x + iy), & \text{if } 0 \leqslant x^2 + y^2 \leqslant 1, \\ x + iy, & \text{if } 1 < x^2 + y^2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$F_2(x, y) = \big((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma - \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\big)(x + iy) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant x^2 + y^2 \leqslant 1, \\ (x + iy)((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma - 1), & \text{if } 1 < x^2 + y^2. \end{cases}$$

Each of $\operatorname{Re} F_l$, $\operatorname{Im} F_l$, $l \in \{1, 2\}$ is in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and thus a locally Lipschitz function. Moreover, restricted on the interval $\Gamma = \{(X, Y) \in R^2 \mid (X, Y) = s(x, y) + (1 - s)(x', y') \text{ for } s \in [0, 1]\}$, each of $\operatorname{Re} F_l|_\Gamma$, $\operatorname{Im} F_l|_\Gamma$ has one-sided derivatives. Therefore the mean value theorem applies, namely:

there exists $s_* \in [0, 1]$ so that if $(\xi, \zeta) = s_*(x, y) + (1 - s_*)(x', y')$,

$$\begin{gathered}\operatorname{Re} F_l(x, y) - \operatorname{Re} F_l(x', y') = \nabla \operatorname{Re} F_l(\xi, \zeta) \cdot \big((x, y) - (x', y')\big) \qquad \Rightarrow \\ |\operatorname{Re} F_l(x, y) - \operatorname{Re} F_l(x', y')| = \sqrt{\big(\nabla_x \operatorname{Re} F_l(\xi, \zeta)\big)^2 + \big(\nabla_y \operatorname{Re} F_l(\xi, \zeta)\big)^2}\sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \\ |\operatorname{Re} f_l(\psi) - \operatorname{Re} f_l(\phi)| = \sqrt{\big(\nabla_x \operatorname{Re} F_l(\xi, \zeta)\big)^2 + \big(\nabla_y \operatorname{Re} F_l(\xi, \zeta)\big)^2}\ |\psi - \phi|\end{gathered}$$

(where the derivatives may have to be interpreted as one-sided derivatives). At this point, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x \operatorname{Re} F_1(x, y) &= x\nabla_x\theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) + \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) = x\theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\sigma(x^2 + y^2)^{\sigma-1}2x + \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) = \\ &= 2\sigma\tfrac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}(x^2 + y^2)^\sigma\theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) + \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).\end{aligned}$$

With a similar computation for the imaginary part, equation (99) follows.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x \operatorname{Re} F_2(x, y) &= (x^2 + y^2)^\sigma - \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) + x\Big(\sigma(x^2 + y^2)^{\sigma-1}2x - \theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\sigma(x^2 + y^2)^{\sigma-1}2x\Big) = \\ &= (x^2 + y^2)^\sigma\Big[1 + 2\sigma\tfrac{x^2}{x^2+y^2} - 2\sigma\tfrac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}\theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\Big] - \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma) = \\ &= \Big[(x^2 + y^2)^\sigma - \theta((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\Big] + (x^2 + y^2)^\sigma 2\sigma\tfrac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}\Big[1 - \theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\Big] = \\ &= \Big((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma - 1\Big)_+ + (x^2 + y^2)^\sigma 2\sigma\tfrac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}\Big[1 - \theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

One readily observes that $\frac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}\Big[1 - \theta'((x^2 + y^2)^\sigma)\Big] \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, hence

$$|\nabla_x \operatorname{Re} F_2(\xi, \zeta)| \leqslant C(\xi^2 + \zeta^2)^\sigma = C|\xi + i\zeta|^{2\sigma} = C|s_*\psi + (1 - s_*)\phi|^{2\sigma} \leqslant C(|\psi| + |\phi|)^{2\sigma} \leqslant C_2(|\psi|^{2\sigma} + |\phi|^{2\sigma})$$

by virtue of lemma A.1. With a similar computation for the imaginary part, equation (100) follows.

Claim 2: Equation (101) follows by raising equation (99) to the second power and integrating in x.

Moreover, by raising equation (100) to the power r' and integrating in x (and redefining C appropriately), it follows that

$$\|f_2(\psi) - f_2(\phi)\|_{L^{r'}} \leqslant C\big\|\big(|\psi|^{2\sigma} + |\phi|^{2\sigma}\big)\big(\psi - \phi\big)\big\|_{L^{r'}}. \tag{105}$$

Observing that

$$\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{2\sigma+1}{2\sigma+2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} + \frac{2\sigma}{2\sigma+2} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{2\sigma}{r}$$

we use the Hölder inequality to estimate the rhs of equation (105), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_2(\psi) - f_2(\phi)\|_{L^{r'}} \leqslant C\big\||\psi|^{2\sigma} + |\phi|^{2\sigma}\big\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2\sigma}}}\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^r} \leqslant C\big(\||\psi|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2\sigma}}} + \||\phi|^{2\sigma}\|_{L^{\frac{r}{2\sigma}}}\big)\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^r} = \\ = C\big(\|\psi\|_{L^r}^{2\sigma} + \|\phi\|_{L^r}^{2\sigma}\big)\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^r}\end{aligned},$$

yielding equation (102).

Claim 3: We will treat both f_1, f_2 in a unified way, by considering a function $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $F(0) = 0$ and

$$|F(\psi) - F(\phi)| \leqslant C\big(|\psi|^a + |\phi|^a\big)|\psi - \phi|$$

for some $a \geqslant 0$. Now let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$; it follows that

$$|F(\psi(x)) - F(\psi(y))| \leqslant C\big(|\psi(x)|^a + |\psi(y)|^a\big)|\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\nabla F(\psi(x))| \leqslant 2C|\psi(x)|^a|\nabla\psi(x)|$$

By raising to the power $\frac{a+2}{a+1}$ and integrating in x it follows that

$$\|\nabla F(\psi)\|_{L^{\frac{a+2}{a+1}}} \leqslant C\big\||\psi|^a|\nabla\psi|\big\|_{L^{\frac{a+2}{a+1}}} \leqslant C\big\||\psi|^a\big\|_{L^{\frac{a+2}{a}}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{a+2}} = C\|\psi\|_{L^{a+2}}^a\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{a+2}}.$$

By setting $a = 0$ (respectively $a = 2\sigma$) equation (103) (respectively equation (104)) follows for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Claim 3 follows by density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in each of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

Lemma B.2 ($\mathbb{E}$ is a complete metric space)**.** *For some M, T to be specified later, consider the set $\mathbb{E}_{M,T}$, defined in equation* (43)*, equipped with the distance $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined in equation* (44)*.*

The metric space $(\mathbb{E}_{M,T}, d(\cdot,\cdot))$ is complete.

Proof: Let

$$\{\psi_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{E}_{M,T}, \text{ and } \psi_n \to \psi \text{ in } L^q((-T,T), L^r).$$

Claim: There is a subsequence such that $\psi_{m_n}(t) \to \psi(t)$ in L^r for a.a. $t \in J$.

Proof of the claim: Let $g_n(t) = \|\psi_n(t) - \psi(t)\|_{L^r}$. It suffices to prove that there exists a subsequence g_{m_n} so that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} g_{m_n}(t) = 0$ for almost all $t \in (-T,T)$. Indeed, select g_{m_n} so that

$$\|g_{m_n}\|_{L^q(-T,T)} \leqslant 2^{-n},$$

and denote

$$A_n = \{t \in (-T,T) \big| g_{m_n}(t) \geqslant \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Observe that

$$2^{-n} \geqslant \|g_{m_n}\|_{L^q(-T,T)} = \left(\int\limits_{(-T,T)} |g_{m_n}(t)|^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geqslant \left(\int\limits_{A_n} |g_{m_n}(t)|^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geqslant \frac{|A_n|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |A_n| \leqslant 2^{-nq}n^q,$$

where of course $|A_n|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of A_n. In particular, $\sum\limits_n |A_n| < \infty$, and therefore by virtue of the Borel-Cantelli lemma [31]

$$|\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{l=n}^{\infty} A_l| = 0.$$

The proof of the claim is complete by observing that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{l=n}^{\infty} A_l = \{t \in (-T,T) \big| g_{m_n}(t) \nrightarrow 0\}.$$

In what follows we work with the a.e. convergent subsequence ψ_{m_n}, which by an abuse of notation we will denote by ψ_n.

Observe that by virtue of the standard Sobolev embeddings $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $\forall q \in [2, \frac{2n}{(n-2)_+})$ (see Corollary A.5). Therefore, recalling equation (41), $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we can apply lemma B.6 for $f_n = \psi_n$, $X = H^1$, $Y = L^r$, $p = \infty$ to get

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant \liminf \|\psi_n\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant M.$$

Similarly, using the obvious embedding $W^{1,r} \hookrightarrow L^r$ we apply lemma B.6 for $f_n = \psi_n$, $X = W^{1,r}$, $Y = L^r$, $p = q$ to get

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})} \leqslant \liminf \|\psi_n\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})} \leqslant M,$$

so that finally $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}$.

□

Lemma B.3. *Recall f_1, f_2 defined in Lemma B.1, and consider the mappings*

$$g_1 : \psi(t) \mapsto f_1(\psi(t)), \tag{106}$$

$$g_2 : \psi(t) \mapsto f_2(\psi(t)). \tag{107}$$

There exists a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$\|g_1(\psi)\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant C\|\psi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)}, \tag{108}$$

$$\|g_2(\psi)\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r'})} \leqslant C\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^r)}\|\psi\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})}, \tag{109}$$

$$\|g_1(\psi) - g_1(\phi)\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^2)} \leqslant C\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^2)}, \tag{110}$$

$$\|g_2(\psi) - g_2(\phi)\|_{L^q((-T,T),L^{r'})} \leqslant C\left(\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^r)} + \|\phi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^r)}\right)\|\psi - \phi\|_{L^q((-T,T),L^r)}, \tag{111}$$

and moreover, for any $\psi, \phi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}$,

$$\|g_1(\psi)\|_{L^1((-T,T),H^1)} + \|g_2(\psi)\|_{L^{q'}((-T,T),W^{1,r'}))} \leqslant C(T + T^{\frac{q-2}{q}})(1 + M^{2\sigma})M \tag{112}$$

$$\|g_1(\psi) - g_1(\phi)\|_{L^1((-T,T),L^2)} + \|g_2(\psi) - g_2(\phi)\|_{L^{q'}((-T,T),L^{r'}))} \leqslant C(T + T^{\frac{q-2}{q}})(1 + M^{2\sigma})d(\psi,\phi), \tag{113}$$

where the metric $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ was defined in equation (44).

Proof: By setting $\phi = 0$ in equations (101), (103), equation (108) follows immediately. Similarly, by setting $\phi = 0$ in equations (102), (104), equation (109) follows immediately. (Technically, one has to check first the measurability of the functions $t \mapsto g_l(\psi(t))$, $l \in \{1,2\}$ in $L^p, W^{1,p}$ spaces. This follows from Lemma B.1 by virtue of a standard argument, see Theorem 1.2.2 in [12].)

Equations (110), (111) follow by integrating in time equations (101), (102) respectively.

Equation (112) follows by combining the following estimates:

$$\|g_1(\psi)\|_{L^1((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant 2T\|g_1(\psi)\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant 2TC\|\psi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} \leqslant 2CTM,$$

where we used equation (108). Moreover using Hölder's inequality in time and equation (109),

$$\|g_2(\psi)\|_{L^{q'}((-T,T),W^{1,r'})} \leqslant (2T)^{\frac{1}{q'}-\frac{1}{q}}\|g_2(\psi)\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r'})} \leqslant CT^{\frac{q-2}{q}}\|\psi\|^{2\sigma}_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^r)}\|\psi\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})} \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant CT^{\frac{q-2}{q}}\left(\|\psi\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)}\right)^{2\sigma}\|\psi\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})} \leqslant CT^{\frac{q-2}{q}}M^{2\sigma+1},$$

where we also used the Sobolev embedding theorem (see Theorem A.4). Equation (113) follows from equations (110), (111) in a completely similar way.

□

Lemma B.4. *For some given $\psi_0 \in H^1$, denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the operator defined in equation* (40),

$$\mathbb{H}(\psi) = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 + \tfrac{i}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\left(|\psi(\tau)|^{2\sigma}\psi(\tau)\right)d\tau = \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t)\psi_0 + \tfrac{i}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\left(g_1(\psi(\tau) + g_2(\psi(\tau))\right)d\tau$$

(where g_1, g_2 were defined in Lemma B.3). Then, for M sufficiently large, T sufficiently small,

$$\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{H}(\psi) \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}, \tag{114}$$

and moreover $\mathbb{H}$ is a strict contraction; more specifically

$$\forall \psi, \phi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T} \qquad d(\mathbb{H}(\psi), \mathbb{H}(\phi)) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} d(\psi, \phi). \tag{115}$$

Remark B.5. *One easily sees in the proof below that a sufficient constraint on T is*

$$T \leqslant \min\{\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}C,\ C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}\|\psi_0\|_{H^1}^{-2\sigma},\ C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}|\psi_0\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{2q\sigma}{q-2}}\}$$

for some constant C depending only on σ and n. (The equations where the constraint comes from are (116) *and* (117)*.)*

Proof: It follows from standard Strichartz's estimates (Theorems 4.5, A.13) and equation (112) that for any $\psi \in \mathbb{E}_{M,T}$,

$$\mathbb{H}(\psi) \in C((-T,T), H^1) \bigcap L^q((-T,T), W^{1,r}),$$
$$\|\mathbb{H}(\psi)\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),H^1)} + \|\mathbb{H}(\psi)\|_{L^q((-T,T),W^{1,r})} \leqslant C\|\psi_0\|_{H^1} + C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}(T + T^{\frac{q-2}{q}})(1 + M^{2\sigma})M$$

Since $q - 2 > 0$, one readily observes that if we select first M large enough, and then T small enough, we have

$$C\|\psi_0\|_{H^1} \leqslant \frac{M}{2}, \qquad C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}(T + T^{\frac{q-2}{q}})(1 + M^{2\sigma}) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \tag{116}$$

and thus equation (114) follows.

Moreover, Strichartz's estimates and equation (113) readily yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbb{H}(\psi), \mathbb{H}(\phi)) = \| \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\Big[\Big(g_1(\psi(\tau) - g_1(\phi(\tau)\Big) + \Big(g_2(\psi(\tau)) - g_2(\phi(\tau))\Big)\Big]d\tau\|_{L^\infty((-T,T),L^2)} + \\ + \| \int\limits_{\tau=0}^{t} \mathcal{T}^\varepsilon(t-\tau)\Big[\Big(g_1(\psi(\tau) - g_1(\phi(\tau)\Big) + \Big(g_2(\psi(\tau)) - g_2(\phi(\tau))\Big)\Big]d\tau\|_{L^q((-T,T),L^r)} \leqslant \\ \leqslant C\varepsilon^{-1-\frac{2}{q}}(T + T^{\frac{q-2}{q}})(1 + M^{2\sigma})d(\psi, \phi). \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

By selecting T even smaller if necessary (since the constant C here is not the same as in equation (116)), equation (115) follows.

□

Lemma B.6. *Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be two Banach spaces, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval, and $1 < p, q \leqslant \infty$. Moreover, let f_n be a bounded sequence in $L^q(I, Y)$, and $f : I \to Y$ such that $f_n(t) \rightharpoonup f(t)$ in Y for a.e. $t \in I$. Assume moreover that f_n is bounded in $L^p(I, X)$, and X is reflexive.*

Then $f \in L^p(I, X)$ and

$$||f||_{L^p(I,X)} \leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} ||f_n||_{L^p(I,X)}.$$

Proof: See Theorem 1.2.5 of [12].

Theorem B.7 (Riesz-Thorin interpolation)**.** *Let $1 \leqslant p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \leqslant \infty$, $\theta \in (0,1)$, and*

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \qquad \frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}.$$

Then,

$$\|T\|_{L^{p_\theta} \to L^{q_\theta}} \leqslant \|T\|_{L^{p_0} \to L^{q_0}}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{L^{p_1} \to L^{q_1}}^{\theta}$$

Proof: See Theorem 1.1.1 in p. 2 of [4].

C Calculations for concrete initial data

The following computations are required for Section 3.1.

C.1 Wavepackets

$$u_0^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{n\beta}{2}} a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^\beta}) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon(k) = \varepsilon^{\frac{n\beta}{2}} \widehat{a}(k\varepsilon^\beta),$$

and therefore

$$\||k|^m \widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p = \varepsilon^{\frac{p\beta n}{2}} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k|^{mp} |\widehat{a}\left(k\varepsilon^\beta\right)|^p dk = \varepsilon^{\frac{p\beta n}{2} - \beta n - \beta mp} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k|^{mp} |\widehat{a}\left(k\right)|^p dk = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta(mp+n-\frac{pn}{2})}\right).$$

In partucular, for $p=2$, $m=1$, we get

$$\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 = O\left(\varepsilon^{-2\beta}\right),$$

and for $p=1$, $m=0,1$ we get

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A_0} = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}}\right), \qquad \|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A_0} = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta(\frac{n}{2}+1)}\right).$$

C.2 Radial chirps

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} u_0^\varepsilon(x) = \left(\tfrac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}} + i\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)x^2} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon(k) = \left(\tfrac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}} + i\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)x^2 + 2\pi i k\cdot x\right]} dx = \\ &= A^{\frac{n}{2}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \int\limits_{x_j\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\left(A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}\right)(x_j\varepsilon^{-\beta})^2 + 4i(k_j\varepsilon^\beta)(x_j\varepsilon^{-\beta})\right]} dx_j = \\ = A^{\frac{n}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \int\limits_{x_j\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\left(A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}\right)x_j^2 + 4i(k_j\varepsilon^\beta)x_j\right]} dx_j &= \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta n}{2}} \left(\frac{2A}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-2\pi\frac{(k\varepsilon^\beta)^2}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \||k|^m \widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p &= \left|\frac{2A\varepsilon^\beta}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right|^{p\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k|^{mp} e^{-2\pi p \frac{A}{A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}(k\varepsilon^\beta)^2} dk = \\ &= \varepsilon^{-m\beta p - n\beta} \left|\frac{2A\varepsilon^\beta}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right|^{p\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k\varepsilon^\beta|^{mp} e^{-2\pi p \frac{A}{A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}(k\varepsilon^\beta)^2} d(k\varepsilon^\beta) = \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\beta[p(m-\frac{n}{2})+n]} \left|\frac{2A}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right|^{p\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k|^{mp} e^{-2\pi p \frac{A}{A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k^2} dk = \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\beta[p(m-\frac{n}{2})+n]} \left|\frac{2A}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right|^{p\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{\rho=0}^{\infty} \rho^{mp+n-1} e^{-2\pi p \frac{A}{A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}\rho^2} d\rho = \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\beta[p(m-\frac{n}{2})+n]} \left|\frac{2A}{A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}\right|^{p\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp+n}{2}\right)}{2} \left(2\pi p \frac{A}{A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}\right)^{-\frac{mp+n}{2}} = \\ &= \varepsilon^{-\beta[p(m-\frac{n}{2})+n]} \frac{\left|A^2 + z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}\right|^{\frac{mp+n}{2}}}{|A + iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}|^{\frac{pn}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp+n}{2}\right)(2A)^{\frac{pn}{2}}}{2(2\pi pA)^{\frac{mp+n}{2}}} = \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta[pm+n-\frac{pn}{2}]} + \varepsilon^{(\beta-1)[pm+n-\frac{pn}{2}]}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $p=2$, $m=1$ we get

$$\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 = O(\varepsilon^{-2\beta} + \varepsilon^{(\beta-1)2}).$$

For $p=1$, $m=0,1$ we get

$$\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A_0} = O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}} + \varepsilon^{(\beta-1)\frac{n}{2}}), \qquad \|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A_0} = O(\varepsilon^{-\beta(1+\frac{n}{2})} + \varepsilon^{(\beta-1)(1+\frac{n}{2})}).$$

C.3 Monodirectional chirps

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_0^\varepsilon(x) = \left(\tfrac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}}x^2 + i\frac{z}{2\varepsilon}x_1^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon(k) &= \left(\tfrac{A}{\varepsilon^\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2\beta}}x^2 + i\frac{z}{2\varepsilon}x_1^2 + 2\pi i k\cdot x\right]}dx = \\
= A^{\frac{n}{2}}\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}} \int\limits_{x_1\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\left(A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}\right)(x_1\varepsilon^{-\beta})^2 + 4i(k_1\varepsilon^\beta)(x_1\varepsilon^{-\beta})\right]}dx_1 \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int\limits_{x_j\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[A(x_j\varepsilon^{-\beta})^2 + 4i(k_j\varepsilon^\beta)(x_j\varepsilon^{-\beta})\right]}dx_j &= \\
= A^{\frac{n}{2}}\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta n}{2}} \int\limits_{x_1\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\left(A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}\right)x_1^2 + 4i(k_1\varepsilon^\beta)x_1\right]}dx_1 \prod_{j=2}^{n} \int\limits_{x_j\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\left[Ax_j^2 + 4i(k_j\varepsilon^\beta)x_j\right]}dx_j &= \\
= \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta n}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{A}\,2^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}}} e^{-2\pi\left[\frac{(k_1\varepsilon^\beta)^2}{A+iz\varepsilon^{2\beta-1}} + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(k_j\varepsilon^\beta\right)^2}{A}\right]}&.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there can be found constants depending only on n, A, z, β so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\||k|\widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{\beta n}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-1} \int\limits_{k\in\mathbb{R}^n} |k|^2 e^{-4\pi\left[\frac{A(k_1\varepsilon^\beta)^2}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}} + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(k_j\varepsilon^\beta\right)^2}{A}\right]}dk \leqslant \\
&\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-2\beta}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-1} \int\limits_{\substack{k_1\in\mathbb{R},\\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}} (k_1^2+\xi^2) e^{-4\pi\left(\frac{1}{A}\xi^2 + \frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2\right)}dk_1 d\xi \leqslant \\
&\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-2\beta}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-1} \left(\int\limits_{k_1\in\mathbb{R}} k_1^2 e^{-4\pi\frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2}dk_1 + \int\limits_{k_1\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-4\pi\frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2}dk_1 \right) = \\
&= O\left(\varepsilon^{-2\beta} + \varepsilon^{2(\beta-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\||k|\widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1} &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}-\beta}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{\substack{k_1\in\mathbb{R},\\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}} (|k_1|+|\xi|) e^{-2\pi\left(\frac{1}{A}\xi^2 + \frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2\right)}dk_1 d\xi \leqslant \\
&\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}-\beta}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int\limits_{k_1\in\mathbb{R}} |k_1| e^{-2\pi\frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2}dk_1 + \int\limits_{k_1\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi\frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2}dk_1 \right) = \\
&= O\left(\varepsilon^{-\beta(1+\frac{n}{2})} + \varepsilon^{\beta(2-\frac{n}{2})-\frac{3}{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1} &\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{\substack{k_1\in\mathbb{R},\\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}} e^{-2\pi\left(\frac{1}{A}\xi^2 + \frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2\right)}dk_1 d\xi \leqslant \\
&\leqslant C\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}}(1+\varepsilon^{2\beta-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int\limits_{k_1\in\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi\frac{A}{A^2+z^2\varepsilon^{2(2\beta-1)}}k_1^2}dk_1 = O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta n}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\beta(1-\frac{n}{2})-\frac{1}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

C.4 Tables 4 & 5

To estimate the timescales, we need to recall the structure of the remainders in each result.

Recalling eq. (22) it follows that Corollary 2.4 holds as long as

$$\varepsilon T\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],L^2)} = o(1);$$

by virtue of Theorem 2.1 it suffices to check that

$$\varepsilon T\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = o(1).$$

Recalling equation (51) it follows that Theorem 2.6 holds as long as

$$\varepsilon T\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2} = o(1).$$

For Theorem 2.9, we use the assumption (30) to evaluate condition (28), which controls $\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([-T,T],A^0)}$. For Theorem 2.10, we recall equation (85), i.e. the condition we get is of the form

$$C(1+T^2)e^{CT}|b(\varepsilon)|\|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}\|u_0^\varepsilon\|_{A^0}^{2\sigma-1} = o(1).$$

References

[1] M. Agueh, "Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities involving the gradient L^2-norm", Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics **346** (2008) pp. 757–762 doi:10.1016/j.crma.2008.05.015

[2] A. Athanassoulis, Th. Katsaounis & I. Kyza, "Regularized semiclassical limits: linear flows with infinite Lyapunov exponents", to appear in Communications in Mathematical Sciences (arXiv:1403.7935)

[3] A. Athanassoulis, N.J. Mauser & T. Paul, "Coarse-scale representations and smoothed Wigner transforms", Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **91** 3 (2009) pp. 296–338 doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2009.01.001

[4] J. Bergh & J. Löfström, "Interpolation spaces: an Introduction", Springer (1976) ISBN: 9783642664533

[5] N. Burq & M. Zworski, "Instability for the Semiclassical Non-linear Schrödinger Equation", Communications in Mathematical Physics **260** 1 (2005) pp. 45–58 doi: 10.1007/s00220-005-1402-x

[6] R. Carles, "Geometric optics and instability for semi-classical Schrödinger equations", Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **183** 3 (2007) pp. 525-553

[7] R. Carles, "Geometric optics with caustic crossing for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations", Indiana University Mathematics Journal **49** 2 (2000) pp. 475-552

[8] R. Carles, E. Dumas & C. Sparber, "Multiphase Weakly Nonlinear Geometric Optics for Schrödinger Equations", SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis **42** 1 (2010) pp. 489–518 doi: 10.1137/090750871

[9] R. Carles & C. Fermanian-Kammerer, "Nonlinear Coherent States and Ehrenfest Time for Schrödinger Equation", Communications in Mathematical Physics **301** 2 (2010) pp. 443–472 doi: 10.1007/s00220-010-1154-0

[10] R. Carles, "WKB Analysis for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Potential", Communications in Mathematical Physics **269** 1 (2006) pp. 195–221 doi: 10.1007/s00220-006-0077-2

[11] R. Carles, "Semiclassical Analysis for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations", World Scientific (2008) ISBN: 9789812793126

[12] T. Cazenave, "Semilinear Schrödinger equations", American Mathematical Society (2003) ISBN: 9780821833995

[13] M. Combescure & D. Robert, "Coherent States and Applications in Mathematical Physics" Springer (2012) ISBN: 9789400701953

[14] G. Folland, "Introduction to Partial Differential Equations", Princeton University Press (1995) ISBN: 9780691043616

[15] P. Gérard, P. Markowich, N. Mauser & F. Poupaud, "Homogenization limits and Wigner transforms", Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **50** 4 (1997) pp. 323–379 doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199704)50:4¡323::AID-CPA4¿3.0.CO;2-C

[16] J. Ginibre, "Introduction aux equations de Schrödinger non linéaires", Edition Paris-Onze (1994) ISBN: 2878001478

[17] F. Golse, C. Mouhot, & T. Paul, "On the Mean-Field and Classical Limits of Quantum Mechanics" arXiv:1502.06143

[18] F. Golse & T. Paul, "The Schrödinger Equation in the Mean-Field and Semiclassical Regime" arXiv:1510.06681

[19] E. Grenier, "Semiclassical limit of the nonlinear Schrdinger equation in small time" Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **126** 2 (1998) pp. 523–530

[20] R. Hyakuna, M. Tsutsumi, "On existence of global solutions of Schrödinger equations with subcritical nonlinearity for $\widehat{L}^p$-initial data", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **140** (2012) pp. 3905–3920 doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2012-11314-0

[21] R. Jenkins & K. McLaughlin "The semi-classical limit of focusing NLS for a family of square barrier initial data", Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **67** 2 (2014) pp. 246-320 doi: 10.1002/cpa.21494

[22] S. Jin, D. Levermore & D. McLaughlin "The Semiclassical Limit of the Defocusing NLS Hierarchy", Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **52** 5 (1999) pp. 613–654 doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0312(199905)52:5¡613::AID-CPA2¿3.0.CO;2-L

[23] S. Kamvissis, K. McLaughlin & P. Miller “Semiclassical Soliton Ensembles for the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation”, Princeton University Press (2003) ISBN: 9780691114828

[24] G. Komen, L. Cavaleri, M. Donelan, K. Hasselmann, S. Hasselmann & P.A.E.M. Janssen (Eds.) “Dynamics and Modelling of Ocean Waves”, Cambridge University Press (1994) ISBN: 9780521470476

[25] D. Lannes, “High-frequency nonlinear optics: from the nonlinear Schrödinger approximation to ultrashort-pulses equations”, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics **141** 2 (2011) pp. 253–286 doi: 10.1017/S030821050900002X

[26] P. Lions & T. Paul, “Sur les mesures de Wigner”, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana **9** 3 (1993) pp. 553–618

[27] A. Majda, D. McLaughlin & E. Tabak, “A one-dimensional model for dispersive wave turbulence”, Journal of Nonlinear Science **7** 1 (1997) pp. 9-44 doi:10.1007/BF02679124

[28] L. Ryzhik, G. Papanicolaou & J. Keller, “Transport equations for elastic and other waves in random media”, Wave Motion **24** 4 (1996) pp. 327–370 doi: 10.1016/S0165-2125(96)00021-2

[29] B. Simon, “They Weyl transform and L^p functions on phase-space”, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **116** 4 (1992) pp. 1045 – 1047.

[30] P. Smit & T. Janssen, “The Evolution of Inhomogeneous Wave Statistics through a Variable Medium”, Journal of the American Meteorological Society **43** 8 (2013) pp.1741–1758 doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-13-046.1

[31] E. Stein & R. Shakarchi, “Real Analysis”, Princeton University Press (2005) ISBN: 9780691113869

[32] A. Tovbis, S. Venakides & X. Zhou “On semiclassical (zero dispersion limit) solutions of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **57** 7 (2004) pp. 877–985 doi: 10.1002/cpa.20024

[33] P. Zhang, Y. Zheng & N. Mauser, “The Limit from the Schrödinger-Poisson to the Vlasov-Poisson Equations with General Data in One Dimension”, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **55** 5 (2002) pp. 582–632 doi: 10.1002/cpa.3017

[34] M. Zworski, “Semiclassical Analysis”, American Mathematical Society (2012) ISBN: 9780821883204